



JENNIFER A. HRADIL  
Director

Gibbons P.C.  
One Gateway Center  
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310  
Direct: (973) 596-4495 Fax: (973) 639-6487  
jhradil@gibbonslaw.com

June 12, 2013

**VIA ECF**

Honorable Esther Salas, U.S.D.J.  
United States District Court  
District of New Jersey  
50 Walnut Street  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

**Re: Federal Trade Commission v. Wyndham Worldwide Corporation, et al.**  
**Civil Action No.: 13-cv-1887 (ES) (SCM)**

Dear Judge Salas:

This firm, along with Kirkland & Ellis LLP and Ropes & Gray LLP, represents Wyndham Worldwide Corp., Wyndham Hotel Group, LLC, Wyndham Hotels and Resorts, LLC, and Wyndham Hotel Management, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) in the above-referenced action.

As Your Honor may be aware, on April 26, 2013, Defendants moved to dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *See* ECF Nos. 91 & 92. Defendants’ motions to dismiss have been thoroughly briefed and are pending disposition by this Court. While Defendants’ Notice of Motion includes a formal request for oral argument, Defendants respectfully reiterate that request in this letter as Defendants believe oral argument is necessary for two fundamental reasons. First, Defendants’ motions to dismiss present novel and complicated issues, the potentially far-reaching implications of which have generated the interest of a number of *amici curiae*. *See, e.g., Ricci v. Chi. Mercantile Exch.*, 447 F.2d 713, 720 n.18 (7th Cir. 1971) (“The complicated issues . . . that would be presented will require the benefit of brief[ing] and argument before any determination [should] be attempted.”); *Giles v. Phelan, Hallinan & Schmief, L.L.P.*, No. 11-6239, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78161, at \*2 (D.N.J. June 4, 2013) (“The Court heard oral argument on May 14, 2013. . . . [because] [t]his case presents several novel issues in this Circuit . . .”). Second, oral argument will provide a forum for the parties to elaborate on and clarify arguments, which may, in turn, assist Your Honor with resolving any questions related to the substantial briefing before the Court.

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court schedule oral argument on Defendants’ motions to dismiss. We thank Your Honor for your consideration of this matter. Should the Court require further information, we are available at the Court’s convenience.

GIBBONS P.C.

**Honorable Esther Salas, U.S.D.J.**

June 12, 2013

Page 2

Respectfully,

s/ Jennifer A. Hradil

Jennifer A. Hradil

cc: Justin T. Quinn, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Eugene F. Assaf, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
K. Winn Allen, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
Bob Allen, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
Kate E. Wooler, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
Jason Wilcox, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
Douglas H. Meal, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
David Cohen, Esq. (*via* electronic mail)  
Katherine Elizabeth McCarron, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Kevin Hyland Moriarty, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Kristin Krause Cohen, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Andrea Vanina Arias, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
John Andrew Krebs, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Jonathan Eli Zimmerman, Esq. (*via* ECF)  
Lisa Naomi Weintraub Schifferle (*via* ECF)