QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Timothy L. Alger (Bar No. 160303) Chandra L. Gooding (Bar No. 192658) 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 624-7707 Facsimile: (213) 624-0643 Attorneys for Defendant Roommate.com, LLC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL OF SAN CASE NO. CV03-9386 PA (RZx) FERNANDO VALLEY; FAIR 12 HOUSING COUNCIL ÓF SAN DIEGO; each individually and on behalf 13 of the GENERAL PUBLIC. ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED 14 Plaintiffs, COMPLAINT 15 16 ROOMMATE.COM, LLC, 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 Defendant Roommate.com, LLC ("Roommate"), by and through its 21 undersigned attorneys, hereby answers the allegations in the First Amended 22 Complaint for Monetary, Declaratory & Injunctive Relief ("Complaint") brought 23 by Plaintiffs Fair Housing Council of San Fernando Valley and Fair Housing 24 Council of San Diego ("Plaintiffs"), each individually and on behalf of the general 25 public, as follows: 26 27 28 04177/590913.2

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

10

13

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25 26

27

28

I. NATURE OF THE CASE

Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally 1. and specifically each and every allegation there.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Roommate admits the allegation that the Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the First Claim for Relief, and may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Second, Third, and Fourth Claims for Relief. Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally 3. and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that the cited statutes give courts discretion to award the cited relief when the suit is properly brought by an injured party. Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.

III. PARTIES

- Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Roommate is without 5. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Roommate is without 6. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that it is 7. a limited liability corporation under the laws of Arizona. Roommate further

admits that it uses the name "Roommates.com" in its trade, and operates an interactive computer service located at http://www.roommates.com (hereinafter referred to as "Roommates.com"). Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that the users of Roommates.com post and view listings for shared living quarters. Roommate also admits that it causes to be placed in *LA Weekly* an advertisement for Roommates.com. Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that it is not an Internet Service Provider ("ISP"). Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.

IV. FACTS

- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that it owns and operates a website on the Internet at http://www.roommates.com.

 Roommate further admits that some users enter into agreements that allow them to exchange information on Roommates.com for a fee. Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Roommate admits that Plaintiffs sent a demand letter on November 21, 2003 and that Roommate

responded on December 12, 2003. Except as expressly admitted, Roommate denies each and every allegation there.

- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

11

12 13

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.

- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Roommate is without 24. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Roommate is without 25. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Roommate is without 26. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
 - The Complaint does not have a Paragraph 28. 28.

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.

- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, the allegations are unintelligible, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Roommate denies generally and specifically each and every allegation there.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Complaint, Roommate is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

1	allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically			
2	each and every allegation there.			
3	49. Answering Paragraph 49 of the Complaint, Roommate is without			
4	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the			
5	allegations there, and on that ground Roommate denies generally and specifically			
6	each and every allegation there.			
7	50. Answering Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, Roommate denies			
8	generally and specifically each and every allegation there.			
9				
10	V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>			
11	A. FIRST CLAIM			
12	[FAIR HOUSING ACT]			
13	51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Roommate incorporates			
14	by reference each and every one of its denials and admissions in Paragraphs 1			
15	through 50.			
16	52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Complaint, Roommate denies			
17	generally and specifically each and every allegation there.			
18	53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Complaint, Roommate denies			
19	generally and specifically each and every allegation there.			
20				
21	B. SECOND CLAIM			
22	[CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT]			
23	54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Roommate incorporates			
24	by reference each and every one of its denials and admissions in Paragraphs 1			
25	through 53.			
26	55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Roommate denies			
27	generally and specifically each and every allegation there.			
28				

	II .			
1	E. FIFTH CLAIM			
2	[NEGLIGENCE]			
3	64. Answering Paragraph 64 of the Complaint, Roommate incorporates			
4				
5				
6	65. Answering Paragraph 65 of the Complaint, Roommate denies			
7	generally and specifically each and every allegation there.			
8				
9	VI. <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>			
10	Roommate also asserts the following Separate and Additional Defenses. By			
11	alleging the Separate and Additional Defenses set forth below, Roommate is not in			
12	any way agreeing or conceding that it has the burden of proof or the burden of			
13	persuasion on any of these issues.			
14	First Affirmative Defense			
15	(Failure to State a Claim)			
16	The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief			
17	upon which relief can be granted.			
18	Second Affirmative Defense			
19	(Barred by 47 U.S.C. § 230)			
20	Plaintiffs' claims are absolutely barred by the Communications Decency Act			
21	of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 230.			
22	Third Affirmative Defense			
23	(First Amendment of the United States Constitution)			
24	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the First Amendment to the United States			
25	Constitution.			
26				
7				
8				
- 11				

•	Fourth Affirmative Defense			
4	(Lack of Standing)			
3	Plaintiffs lack standing to sue Roommate because they are not real parties in			
4	interest and they have suffered no injury as a result of the alleged conduct.			
5	Fifth Affirmative Defense			
. 6	(Right to Privacy)			
7	Roommate has no liability because the users of Roommates.com have a			
8	right to privacy under the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 1 of the			
9	California Constitution, which allows them to choose by whatever criteria they			
10	please, those people who will reside with them.			
11	Sixth Affirmative Defense			
12	(Right to Freedom of Intimate Association)			
13	Roommate has no liability because the users of Roommates.com have a			
14	right to freedom of intimate association under the California Constitution and the			
15	First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as articulated in Hart v. Cult			
16	Awareness Network, 13 Cal. App. 4th 777, 16 Cal. Rptr. 2d 705 (1993), which			
17	allows them to exclude anyone (even protected classes of citizens) from intimate			
18	associations, such as exclusive clubs or shared living arrangements.			
19	Seventh Affirmative Defense			
20	(Standing)			
21	Plaintiffs have not suffered any injury or damage as a result of any act or			
22	conduct by Roommate, and therefore Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief.			
23	Eighth Affirmative Defense			
24	(Laches)			
25	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.			
26	Ninth Affirmative Defense			
27	(Waiver)			
28	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of waiver.			
- 11				

]	Tenth Affirmative Defense			
2	(Equal Protection)			
The imposition of punitive or treble damages would deny equal p				
4	4 of the laws, in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United			
5	States Constitution and Article I, Section 7 and Article IV, Section 16 of the			
6				
7	damages in this case.			
8	Eleventh Affirmative Defense			
9	(Causation)			
10	Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief to the extent that Plaintiffs' purported			
11	injuries and damages were not proximately caused by any acts or omissions of			
12	Roommate.			
13	Twelfth Affirmative Defense			
14	(Unconstitutional Compelled Speech)			
15	The relief sought constitutes compelled speech in violation of the First			
16	Amendment to the United States Constitution and Art. I § 2 of the California			
17	Constitution.			
18	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense			
19	(Unconstitutional Prior Restraint)			
20	The relief sought constitutes a prior restrain in violation of the First			
21	Amendment to the United States Constitution and Art. I § 2 of the California			
22	Constitution.			
23	Fourteenth Affirmative Defense			
24	(Duplicative Damages)			
25	The monetary damages sought by Plaintiffs are duplicative.			
26				
27				
28				

Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

(Unconstitutional Punitive and Treble Damages)

The punitive and treble damages sought by plaintiff are unconstitutional under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and under Article I, Section 2 of the California Constitution. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are not entitled to such damages.

Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

(Due Process)

To the extent that the Complaint seeks punitive or treble damages, it violates Roommate's right to procedural and substantive due process under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 7 of the California Constitution, because, among other things, of the vagueness and uncertainty of the criteria for the imposition of punitive or treble damages and the lack of fair notice of what conduct will result in the imposition of such damages. Therefore, Plaintiff cannot recover punitive or treble damages in this case.

Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

(Improper Request for Disgorgement)

To the extent that the Complaint seeks disgorgement of Roommate's profits, it seeks relief that is not available to individual plaintiffs bringing a suit in their capacity as a "private attorney general" under Section 17200.

Roommate has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, separate defenses available. Roommate reserves its right to amend this Answer to add, delete, or modify defenses based on legal theories that may or will be divulged through clarification of Plaintiffs' vague complaint, through discovery, or through further legal analysis of Plaintiffs' positions in this litigation.

1					
2		THEREFORE, Roommate prays as follows:			
3	1.	That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint;			
4	2.	That the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;			
5	3.	That Roommate recover the cost of suit incurred herein, including its			
6	attorneys'	fees and costs;			
7	4.	Any other relief to which Roommate is entitled by statute or contract;			
8	and				
9	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and			
10	proper.				
11					
12	DATED: June 30, 2004				
13		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART			
14		OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP			
15		By Time Alban			
16		Timothy L. Alger Attorneys for Defendant			
17		Roommate.com, LLC			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25					
·					
26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27					
28					
20					

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP, 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543.

On June 30, 2004, I served the within document:

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as stated:

Gary W. Rhoades
Law Offices of Gary W. Rhoades
834 1/2 S. Mansfield Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90036
Telephone: 323.937.7095
Fax: 775.640.2274

[X] [MAIL] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business, addressed as set forth below. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on June 30, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

Albert V. Ville

ALBERT V. VILLAMIL