

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 to hear and determine plaintiffs' state law
 claims because those claims are related to plaintiffs' federal law claims and arise out
 of a common nucleus of related facts.

3. Venue is proper in the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. §
1391 (b) (2) because the events or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in
this district and the defendants conduct business in this district.

7 4. This Court has authority to grant declaratory and injunctive relief as
8 well as compensatory and punitive damages pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 3612 (o) (3),
9 3613 (c) (1) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02. The Court also has the authority to award
10 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to a prevailing party pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613
11 (c) (2).

III. <u>PARTIES</u>

Plaintiff Fair Housing Council of San Fernando Valley ("FHC-SFV") 5. 13 is a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the state of California with its 14 principal place of business at 8134 Van Nuys Blvd., Ste. 206, Panorama City, 15 California 91402. FHC-SFV's purpose is to actively support and promote equal 16 opportunity and freedom of residence to all persons without regard to race, color, 17 religion, gender, national origin, familial status, marital status, disability, sexual 18 orientation and source of income. FHC-SFV engages in activities to identify barriers 19 to fair housing in Los Angeles County, especially in the San Fernando Valley area, and 20 to help counteract and eliminate discriminatory housing practices. To this end, the 21 activities in which the FHC-SFV engages include, but are not limited to: (1) providing 22 outreach and education to the community regarding fair housing; (2) investigating 23 allegations of discrimination; (3) conducting tests of housing facilities to determine 24 whether freedom of residence and equal opportunity are provided; (4) taking such 25 steps as it deems necessary to assure such equal opportunity and to counteract and 26 eliminate discriminatory housing practices. 27

28

12

The plaintiff represents itself and the general public pursuant to California

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

Ci.

0

Plaintiff Fair Housing Council of San Diego ("FHC-SD") is a non-profit 6. 2 corporation organized under the laws of the state of California with its principal place 3 of business at San Diego, California. FHC-SD's purpose is to actively support and 4 promote equal opportunity and freedom of residence to all persons without regard to 5 race, color, religion, gender, national origin, familial status, marital status, disability, 6 sexual orientation and source of income. FHC-SD's engages in activities to identify 7 barriers to fair housing in San Diego County, and to help counteract and eliminate 8 discriminatory housing practices. To this end, the activities in which the FHC-SD 9 engages include, but are not limited to: (1) providing outreach and education to the 10 community regarding fair housing; (2) investigating allegations of discrimination; (3) 11 conducting tests of housing facilities to determine whether freedom of residence and 12 equal opportunity are provided; (4) taking such steps as it deems necessary to assure 13 such equal opportunity and to counteract and eliminate discriminatory housing 14 practices. 15

The plaintiff represents itself and the general public pursuant to California
Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

7. Upon information and belief, defendant Roommate.com is an Arizona
corporation created in connection with the advertising of housing and rental
opportunities. While it is incorporated as Roommate.com, LLC, the defendant at all
other times is referred to as Roommates.com, including on its website and newsletter.

8. Defendant Roommate.com conducts significant business in Southern
California, contracting with landlords in Los Angeles and San Diego to post rental
listings and contracting with tenants in Los Angeles and San Diego to allow tenants
to review the rental listings for properties located in Los Angeles and San Diego.
Defendant also advertises its services in Los Angeles in the *LA Weekly*.

27 9. Upon information and belief, defendant does not have the capability to allow
28 users to access the internet through their services.

IV. FACTS

A. Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

C

10. The defendant owns and operates a website located on the internet at "www.roommates.com." From this website, defendant each month enters into contracts with hundreds of thousands of landlords and sub-leasers to post rental advertisements for a fee on defendants' website.

11. Through the use of required information fields, Defendant requires or encourages all users of their website to provide "details regarding your lifestyle" such as age, gender, sexual orientation, source of income and familial status.

12. Defendant also requires or encourages housing providers to state their
 preferences with respect to their potential renter's age, gender, sexual orientation,
 source of income and familial status.

13. As a direct result of defendant's templates and requirements, most of the
rental advertisements posted on defendants' website contain discriminatory statements
which violate either federal or California fair housing laws. Also, as a result of
defendants' requirements and its utter failure to provide any fair housing links or
guidance on its website, preferences based on race and religion often appear, such as
"Asian preferred," "prefer . . white males," "Looking for a Christian" and "no children
please," and numerous others, many of which are set forth in Paragraphs 15-32.

14. These statements and many others clearly state bias against each and all 20 protected classes including race, religion, and familial status. The Fair Housing 21 Councils contacted defendants on November 21, 2003 by sending a substantive 22 demand and education letter about the fair housing violations, but defendant claimed 23 on December 12, 2003 that they were exempt from the fair housing laws, and 24 "unwilling" to stop the posting of discriminatory statements on their website. The 25 only change made to the defendant's website in response to the plaintiffs' letter was 26 to remove the Key Word Search feature that allowed plaintiffs to find and review the 27 discriminatory listings. 28

15. Indeed, on December 20, 2003, plaintiffs found that defendants had not 1 removed the website fields requiring information and preferences for familial status, 2 source of income, sexual orientation, and age. Plaintiffs also found an all new group 3 of discriminatory statements made or published by defendant regarding housing in Los 4 Angeles and San Diego, including "Pref white Male roommates," "PLEASE NO 5 WHITE TRASH," "I'm looking for a straight Christian male, who is serious about his 6 Christian walk with God to fill an empty house," "I am NOT looking for black 7 muslims," "AFDC NOT ACCEPTABLE." "I prefer an . . .out gay male," "SEEKS A 8 NICE SINGLE EMPLOYED FEMALE," "I prefer a Christian male, no women 9 allowed in home, living for Christ is the main thing," "no drugs, kids, or animals," "no 10 smokers, kids, or druggies," and "no psychos or anyone on mental medication." These are all statements made, published or developed by defendant on December 20, 2003. 12

13

14

11

B. Statements Made By Defendant in November of 2003

16. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental 15 advertisement containing the statement "I am seeking a single Asian Male or Female 16 student or working professionals" (Los Angeles area townhouse). 17

17. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental 18 advertisement containing the statement "Asian preferred" (Los Angeles area 4-19 bedroom house). 20

18. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental 21 advertisement containing the statement "prefer 18-25 (year-old) white males" (San 22 Diego apartment). 23

19. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental 24 advertisement containing the statement "I am looking for Asian/Spanish persons to 25 26 share the apartment" (Los Angeles area apartment).

27 20. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental 28 advertisement containing the statement "I am a 29 year-old Asian-American

professional looking for the same to share a fully furnished 2-bedroom, 2-bathroom apartment in a beautiful gated hilltop community." (Los Angeles).

1

2

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental
advertisement containing the statement "The person applying for the room MUST be
a BLACK GAY MALE!" (Los Angeles).

22. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental
advertisement containing the statement "I love Asians females" (male landlord offering
room for \$1 "for the right woman").

9 23. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental
advertisement containing the statement "looking for gay white or latin guy who is
responsible." (San Diego).

24. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental
 advertisement containing the statement "looking for ASIAN FEMALE OR EURO
 GIRL."

¹⁵ 25. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a
 ¹⁶ rental advertisement containing the statement "Looking for a Christian guy to take a
 ¹⁷ room immediately" (Los Angeles area townhouse).

¹⁸ 26. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a
 ¹⁹ rental advertisement containing the statement "Please only Christian or strong moraled
 ²⁰ need inquire" (Los Angeles area house).

27. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental advertisement containing the statement "This is a Christian home and we are looking for a Christian female to rent a downstairs room" (Los Angeles Area house).

29. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a rental advertisement containing the statement "I am NOT looking for black muslims" (Los Angeles area 2-bedroom apartments).

30. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

rental advertisement containing the statement "prefer a Catholic or Christian" (San
 Diego area 5-bedroom house).

3 31. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a
rental advertisement containing statements to the effect that female applicants having
sex with landlord would get "special consideration" (Los Angeles area male landlord,
age 47, offering room to straight females <u>only</u>, ages 18-40).

32. During the month of November, 2003, defendant's website included a
rental advertisement containing the statement "I am looking for a neat freak, christian,
non smoking, straight, friendly female to share 2 bedroom apartment with. I am all of
the above" (Los Angeles area apartment).

33. Defendant published all the above-described statements ($\P \P 15$ -32) on their website at www.roommates.com.

13 14

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

Q

34. Defendant made all the above-described statements ($\P \P 15-32$).

35. Defendant developed or otherwise encouraged all the above-described
statements (¶¶ 15-32).

¹⁷ 36. Defendant has developed and published hundreds of rental housing
 ¹⁸ advertisements that state "No children please." The phrase "No children please" is
 ¹⁹ written and developed by Defendant.

37. Defendant has developed and published hundreds of rental housing advertisements that state preferences or even absolute requirements for straight, gay or lesbian renters. These statements of preference are written or developed by Defendant.

38. Defendant has developed and published hundreds of rental housing
advertisements that state preferences or even absolute requirements based on source
of income. These statements of preference are written or developed by Defendant.

28

39.

Defendant has developed and published hundreds of rental housing

advertisements that state preferences or even absolute requirements based on age. These statements of preference are written or developed by Defendant.

40. Upon information and belief defendant has e-mailed all the abovedescribed statements in rental advertisements to customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

41. Upon information and belief defendant have provided customers with hard copies of the above-described rental advertisements.

42. Upon information and belief defendant has provided customers with have re-published these statements in their self-described "newsletter."

43. Upon information and belief, defendant has published and are
 continuing to publish thousands of rental advertisements for housing in California
 containing statements that violate the state and federal fair housing laws, and tens of
 thousands rental advertisements for housing throughout the United States containing
 statements that violate state and federal fair housing laws

44. Upon information and belief, none of the landlords or housing providers who contracted with defendant to publish the advertisements described above have exemptions from the fair housing laws prohibiting discriminatory statements.

45. Plaintiffs are preparing complaints to be filed with the United States Department of Housing & Urban Development's (HUD) or the California Dept. of Fair Housing & Employment (DFEH) against some of the housing providers and landlords who asked defendant to publish the discriminatory statements.

46. Upon information and belief, defendant has always allowed,
 encouraged, and required housing providers and landlords to publish discriminatory
 advertisements such as those described above.

47. Although defendant is the direct intermediary for over 100,000 housing

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

opportunities on any given day, they do not provide nor post any information about fair housing rights or law or responsibilities on their website or other publications or emails.

The Fair Housing Councils' Investigations and Responses in Los Angeles and San Diego

О.

C

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

C.

Both plaintiff Fair Housing Councils are committed to ensuring freedom 48. of residence and equal availability of housing to all persons without regard to familial status, race, religion, gender, disability, sexual orientation and source of income. Both Councils seek to eliminate prejudice and discriminatory housing practices, and defend human and civil rights by law.

With respect to the facts set forth in this complaint, both Councils have 13 49. devoted significant efforts, expenses, and resources in responding to defendant's discriminatory statements and investigating the discriminatory practices alleged herein. 16 Those efforts and expenses include the hours spent by staff members monitoring the defendant's website, educating the landlords who place discriminatory ads, legal research by staff attorneys and outside counsel, beginning unique and unprecedented education campaigns targeting discriminatory advertising in roommate advertisements, attempts to educate the defendant about the fair housing laws, attempts to conciliate the matter, and retaining an attorney to conciliate the matter.

Defendant's discriminatory and negligent actions have caused, and are 50. 23 continuing to cause, harm to both Councils by frustrating their missions of identifying 24 and eliminating discriminatory housing practices in their respective metropolitan areas, 25 Los Angeles and San Diego. Defendant' actions have interfered with all of the efforts 26 and programs of the Councils by: (1) forcing each Council to direct these scarce 27 resources to identifying and counteracting the defendant' unlawful practices, and (2) 28

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

frustrating their mission of identifying and eliminating discriminatory housing practices in Los Angeles and San Diego. Defendant's unlawful acts and practices have caused both Councils to suffer economic losses in staff pay, in funds expended in support of volunteer services and postage and materials, and in the inability to prevent other unlawful housing practices. Defendant's actions have also set back both Councils' goals of achieving fair housing for Los Angeles and San Diego by impeding and undermining their efforts to educate the public about discriminatory housing practices, including discriminatory advertising practices, and to provide counseling and referral services to the public about housing discrimination.

V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>

A. FIRST CLAIM

[FAIR HOUSING ACT]

51. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 50 above.

52. Defendant, by and through a pattern of practice of discrimination on the basis of race, religion, and familial status have violated the federal Fair Housing Amendments Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619, in that defendant injured plaintiffs by engaging in the following discriminatory housing practices. Defendant:

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

Α.

24 25

26 27

28

Made statements with respect to the rental of a dwelling which indicated a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on race in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (c);

B. Made statements with respect to the rental of a dwelling which indicated a preference, limitation, or discrimination

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

based on religion in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (c);

Made statements with respect to the rental of a dwelling which indicated a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on familial status in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (c);

D. Made statements with respect to the rental of a dwelling which indicated a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on disability in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (c);

E. Made statements with respect to the rental of a dwelling which indicated a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on gender in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (c);

F. Failed to display a Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity symbol, poster or the like, in violation of 24 C.F.R. § 110 et. seq.

53. The aforementioned conduct of defendant was willful, malicious,

fraudulent or oppressive, subjecting defendant to liability for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

B. SECOND CLAIM

[CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT]

54. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 53 above.

55. Defendant, by and through a pattern or practice of discrimination on the basis of race, religion, national origin, familial status, sexual orientation, gender, marital status, and disability violated the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code § 12955.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

000011

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

C.

56. Defendant, by and through a pattern or practice of discrimination on the basis of religion violated the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code § 12955.

 \bigcirc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

57. The aforementioned conduct of defendant was willful, malicious, or in reckless disregard of others' civil rights, subjecting defendant to liability for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

C. THIRD CLAIM

[UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT]

58. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 57 above, as though fully set forth herein.

59. Defendant, by and through a pattern or practice of discrimination
on the basis of age, race, national origin, familial status, gender, religion, marital
status, source of income and other arbitrary classifications, violated the Unruh Civil
Rights Act, California Civil Code § 51.

60. The aforementioned conduct of defendant was willful, malicious,

fraudulent or oppressive, subjecting defendant to liability for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

D. FOURTH CLAIM

[UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES]

61. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 60 above, as though fully set forth herein.

62. In committing the acts herein alleged, defendant have engaged in a pattern and practice of unlawful discrimination in the operation of their business or

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

businesses, and therefore have engaged in acts of unlawful business practices or unfair business practices as defined in § 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code. Moreover, defendant have profited from the aforesaid conduct and are consequently required to disgorge their ill-gotten profits by making restitution to the victims of their conduct.

C

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

63. In bringing this action for injunctive relief, each plaintiff is acting in the interest of itself and in the interest of the general public pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code § 17204.

E. FIFTH CLAIM

[NEGLIGENCE]

64. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 63 above, as though fully set forth herein.

65. Defendant owed plaintiffs a duty to operate its rental housing website in a manner that was free from unlawful discriminatory statements and other discriminatory practices, and to hire, train, supervise and discipline their employees and themselves to fulfill that duty. Defendant negligently violated that duty by developing, making, publishing and re-publishing statements that are discriminatory on the basis of race, national origin, familial status, religion, marital status, age, disability, and source of income. Defendant's violation of that duty and plaintiff's injuries were the result of negligence, including but not limited to:

A. Defendant's negligent failures to train their employees, members, and themselves regarding the requirements of state and federal fair housing laws;

B. Defendant's negligent failures to hire persons who were familiar with the requirements of state and federal fair housing laws;

11			
1	7. Award to plaintiffs up to three times the amount of actual damages		
2	against each defendant pursuant to the Unruh Civil Rights Act.		
3	8. Award any other such damages as may be allowed under all the above		
4	federal and state statutes.		
5	9. Award to plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in this		
6 7	action.		
8	10. Award all such other relief as the Court deems just.		
9	DATED: April 9,2004		
10	Respectfully submitted,		
11	1		
12	BY: Com W Rhouses		
13	Gary W. Rhoades		
14 15	Attorney for Plaintiffs		
16			
17	VII. JURY DEMAND		
18	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby		
19	request a jury trial.		
20			
21 22	DATED: April 7, 2004 Respectfully submitted,		
23			
24	BY: Gom W Rlocaler		
25	J		
26	Gary W. Rhoades Attorney for Plaintiffs		
27			
28			
	15		
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 000015		

O

C

C

0

 \bigcirc

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address		
3	is 834 ½ S. Mansfield Ave., Los Angeles CA 90036		
4	On April 9, 2004, I served a true and correct copy of the following document(s):		
5	PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
6	upon the following person(s):		
7	Timothy L. Alger, Esq.		
8			
9	Los Ange	les, CA 90017-2543	
Fax: 213/624-0643		024-0045	
11	in the fol	lowing manner(s):	
12			
13		BY HAND DELIVERY: By causing such document(s) to be delivered by hand to the above person(s) at the address(es) st forth above.	
14	x	BY MAIL : By placing a copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed	
15		as set forth above.	
16 17		BY THIRD-PARTY COMMERCIAL CARRIER (OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) : By delivering a copy thereof to a third-party commercial carrier, addressed as set forth above, for delivery on the next business day.	
18 19		BY FACSIMILE : By transmitting the above document(s) to the facsimile number(s) of the addressee(s) designated above.	
20			
20			
22	I c	certify that I am employed as a member of the bar of this court. I declare under penalty	
23	of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on April 9, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.		
24			
25		Com Rhaden	
26	Gaty Rhoades		
27			
28			
		1	
		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 000016	

О