

Santa Clara Law Santa Clara Law Digital Commons

Rosetta Stone v. Google (Joint Appendix)

Research Projects and Empirical Data

3-1-2010

Vol. IX, Tab 46 - Ex. 56 - Deposition of April Garvey (Marketing Consultant for Rosetta Stone)

April Garvey Rosetta Stone

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/appendix



Our Part of the Computer Law Commons, and the Internet Law Commons

Automated Citation

Garvey, April, "Vol. IX, Tab 46 - Ex. 56 - Deposition of April Garvey (Marketing Consultant for Rosetta Stone)" (2010). Rosetta Stone v. Google (Joint Appendix). Paper 129.

http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/appendix/129

This Deposition is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Projects and Empirical Data at Santa Clara Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Rosetta Stone v. Google (Joint Appendix) by an authorized administrator of Santa Clara Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact sculawlibrarian@gmail.com.

```
Page 1
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
        (Alexandria Division)
2
              3
    ROSETTA STONE LTD.,
                Plaintiff,
                             : Civil Action No.
                      : 1:09cv736
5
                        (GBL/TCB)
         VS.
     Year, I'm not sure
6
                        understood that either
    GOOGLE INC.,
                        MAJEO AR YE
7
                Defendant. :
8
9
                     Washington, D.C.
10
                     Monday, March 1, 2010
11
    Videotape Deposition of:
12
                    APRIL GARVEY,
13
    the witness, was called for examination by counsel
14
    for the Defendant, pursuant to notice, commencing
15
    at 8:59 a.m., at the law offices of Skadden, Arps,
16
    Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 1440 New York Avenue,
17
    Northwest, Conference Room 305, Washington, D.C.,
18
    before Dawn A. Jaques, CSR, CLR, and Notary Public
19
    in and for the District of Columbia, videotaped by
20
21
    Dan McClutchy, when were present on behalf of the
    respective parties:
22
23
24
    Job No. 243351
25
```

- 1 A Return on marketing spend.
- Q Okay. And among the forms of
- advertising that you -- you were aware of that
- were being run at the time, what forms had the
- 5 highest ROM?
- 6 MR. ETTINGER: Objection.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure I
- 8 understood that either.
- 9 BY MR. OBLAK:
- 10 Q Did you deal with more than just pay per
- 11 click advertising?
- 12 A Yeah, absolutely.
- 13 Q What other forms of advertising did you
- 14 have knowledge of?
- 15 A E-mail, for instance, display banners,
- 16 organic affiliates.
- 17 Q And did you track the ROM for those
- 18 various forms of advertising?
- 19 A Absolutely.
- 20 Q And generally speaking, how did pay per
- 21 click advertising compare on a ROM basis to those
- 22 other mediums?
- 23 A Second to e-mail.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A E-mail was the best performing.