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I. Introduction

Understanding how political decisions are made requires the evaluation of many procedural levels. The most obvious starting point is the actual methodology that governs how choices are made and how subsequent policies are put in place. In the United States, this would include a discussion regarding the introduction of legislation, and the procedure required to make it law. This, however, is only one level of the decision-making process. What happens before that legislation is introduced? What governs what needs to be regulated or protected? Why do some sovereign states pass laws to protect the environment while others do not? Ultimately, this is dictated by the value placed on certain things and the ensuing ethics held by a particular community.¹

A range of factors influence the presence or absence of an assessed value. It can be something quantifiable or it may emanate from something more innate.

When making a decision of minor importance, I have always found it advantageous to consider all the pros and cons. In vital matters, however, ...the decision should come from the unconscious, from somewhere within ourselves. In the important decisions of personal

---

life, we should be governed, I think, by the deep inner needs of our nature.  

Value can be assessed from many factors including external things like happiness, well-being, beauty, or intrinsic values such as ecosystems which facilitate life.  

Once a value is assessed, obligations that dictate actions regarding this issue are generated, and an ethic is created. Ethics come from a variety of sources including culture and religion and are often not explicitly taught. Norms that guide communities are often reflected in laws and regulations to tangibly solidify these principles.

One thing that deserves universal value is water; however, the factors that impact decisions about water may differ. Water, as it pertains to life, may implicate many ethics, and even though cultural values vary with different societies, most of them arguably appreciate the importance of water. This appreciation is apparent in decision-making through various applied ethical theories as well as through codified regulations.

This paper evaluates the role of ethics in decision-making and how it could impact water resource management. Part II provides an overview of ethics, its sources, and how it can impact decisions and policy. Part III examines ethical theories that could apply to water decision-making. Understanding the basics of ethics is critical in recognizing the mechanisms available to impact decision-making, as well as how ethics can be used to provide water for people in need.

Part IV applies ethical theory to water in an effort to understand the relationship between ethics, water planning, and other related decisions. The purpose of this section is to ascertain the extent to which ethics can impact decision-makers with regard to water. One way is in existing laws; therefore, this section reviews various domestic and international laws regarding water that have ethical implications. Examining a subset of international law, it discusses the potential for

3. ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 39-40.
5. See discussion infra Parts II-IV.
6. See discussion infra Part II.
7. See discussion infra Part III.
8. See discussion infra Parts II-III.
9. See discussion infra Part IV.
10. See discussion infra Part IV.
a human right to water. As morality-driven directives, human rights provide an excellent example of how ethics and decisions can coincide. The conclusion discusses how ethics can be used to successfully impact decision-making in a way that will place emphasis on the long-term sustainability of people while also providing for their needs in the present.

II. How Decisions are Made: Ethics, Culture, and Religion – Oh My!

A. Understanding Ethics

All human beings possess basic views about right and wrong. As rational beings, we have goals, and we make choices. Why we make the decisions we make is the result of many influences. One of these influences is ethics. "[E]thics is the systematic and critical study of our moral attitudes, actions and beliefs about how we ought to live and about what sort of people we ought to be." Ethical conduct reflects what we feel we should or must do under the circumstances. Most human action is based on moral presuppositions, whether or not it is explicitly recognized. In Western civilization, ethics or morals are seen as behavioral rules or principles whereas non-western civilizations may see ethics more as customs, taboos, and rites.

Morality is an attempt to determine if an action was good, bad, or indifferent. It is applied through the voluntary actions of persons. Because human beings have the capacity to reflect on their existence and to make choices based on these

11. See discussion infra Part IV A 2i.
12. See discussion infra Part V.
17. PATRICK J. SHEERAN, ETHICS IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: A PHILOSOPHICAL APPROACH 61 (1993). In general, ethics are morality in action. Morality designates value judgments about what is right and wrong, whereas ethics denotes our conscious and systematic reflections upon those judgments. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 14. Morality involves interests other than the actors, commands universal allegiance, demands impartiality, and is largely self-enforcing and binding on everyone. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 3. Ethics involves choosing to do what is right and good and refraining from the bad. Id. at 3. Although there is a slight distinction between the two, they will be used interchangeably in this article.
18. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 3.
reflections, they can therefore be held morally responsible for their actions. An ethic is a behavioral ideal that is rarely perfected; however, it does influence behavior. Social ethics, ideals of mutual forbearance, justice, and compassion are part of the human experience. Ultimately, the mechanism for the operation of ethics is social approval for right actions and social disapproval for wrong actions.

"Without some kind of ethic (a theory of right and responsibility) and some kind of axiology (or value-theory), we lack guidance and direction for tackling problems, whether global, environmental, or otherwise." In many cultures, the idea of an ethics-based life is common; however, defining ethics that transcend all cultures becomes more complex. For many issues, local governance of an issue is sufficient; however, for broad-reaching concerns, such as the environment, achieving a shared doctrine becomes more important.

All ethics are based on the principle that an individual is a member of a community of interdependent parts. Some theorists believe that ethical responsibility applies beyond the members of one community or tradition, but to all moral agents capable of bearing them. Others believe that obligations are linked to relationships that would occur in a community with cultural ties. We are all citizens of the world, which frames us as members in a broader community. Therefore, issues that affect the global community must be dealt with internationally. Water is one of these issues.

B. Sources of Ethics

"[E]thical tradition refers to a system of substantive moral rules and normative principles that have been passed along from generation to generation and that have been recognized as imposing binding obligations, achieving... the force of law." These traditions can be based on religion, law, culture, politics and

19. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 33.
21. Id. at 3.
23. ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 27.
24. Leopold, supra note 22, at 43.
25. ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 30.
26. Id. at 29-30.
27. Id. at 30.
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widespread practice. The particular ethics of a community are based on a combination of things, including historical and cultural factors, characteristics of the substance involved, and the managerial mode of social organizations. Many times, ethical considerations are not explicitly taught but are absorbed from the customs and behavior that surround us.

Often the way a culture views an issue turns on the value it is given by human valuers. For something to have value, it must be able to have ethical concepts attached to it and it must be connected to or appreciated by a moral agent. An ethic toward something cannot exist without love and respect for that particular thing. "The moral significance of an interest depends on its intrinsic value, or its contribution to such value." Intrinsic value is understood to mean "a reason for action which is independent to, non-derivative, and based solely in the nature of what has this value." The key is identifying and understanding the source of this assigned value.

Values emanate from humans, but there is no requirement that they be human-focused. Many native cultures have a tradition of strong ethical considerations toward the earth and natural resources. For example, Native Americans are often seen as model ecological citizens. In general, Native Americans’ relationship with nature tends to preserve biological integrity. This includes traditions of mutual respect, reciprocity, and caring for the Earth as a live being. Native American communities adapt their needs to fit the capacity of the surrounding natural world in which human beings are viewed as only one part of the community. Ecological consciousness is a combination of a sense of kinship with nature, and

29. Id. at 49.
32. Id. An interesting illustration of this theory is the history of the coyote in the American west. Seen by many as a predator, there was a movement to eradicate its presence; while others felt that the coyote had value and should remain because it represents the rugged west and had lived there for hundreds of years. DONALD WORSTER, NATURE’S ECONOMY 258-90 (2d ed. 1995).
33. Leopold, supra note 22, at 47.
34. ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 39.
35. Id.
36. CUOMO, supra note 31, at 49.
37. See CALLICOTT, supra note 16, at 1.
39. Id.
an understanding of ecological systems.\textsuperscript{40} Similarly, Australia’s aboriginal culture holds a worldview that unites human beings and other forms of life with the land.\textsuperscript{41} It is not difficult to understand how these taught values play a large part in decisions regarding the earth or water.

Religion is another common source of ethics. In terms of water, most religions promote both stewardship and the protection of water resources.\textsuperscript{42} The Koran recognizes the importance of water stating that, “[b]y means of water, we give life to everything.”\textsuperscript{43} There are over fifty references to water in the Koran, where it is often associated with giving life, a source of income and purity.\textsuperscript{44} Instead of being viewed as an economic good, it is viewed as a gift from God.\textsuperscript{45} The Koran also speaks against the wasting of water.\textsuperscript{46} If a man has additional water, he must provide it to strangers and their cattle.\textsuperscript{47}

In Judaism, an environmental precept referred to as bal tashchit literally means “do not destroy.”\textsuperscript{48} Commonly cited in Jewish writings in relation to the environment, particularly to avoid destruction of fruit-bearing trees while laying siege to a city, it has been expanded by rabbis to mean a ban on any wanton destruction.\textsuperscript{49} Although not without debate, some ethical theorists have further translated this to mean that fruit-bearing trees, upon which people depend for survival, may also have an existence independent of people.\textsuperscript{50} A similar application could apply to water as a clear survival necessity. In addition, the

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
  \item Id. at 136.
  \item See \textit{ATTFIELD}, supra note 1, at 45 (explaining that the theory of stewardship is arguably central to Judaism, Christianity, and Islam). Cosmopolitan ethical theory holds that an idea moral order exists that applies universally in which all individuals are members, each with rights and duties in relation to all other human beings. John Charvet, \textit{The Possibility of a Cosmopolitan Ethical Order Based on the Idea of Universal Human Rights}, in \textit{ETHICS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS} 8, 9 (Hakan Seckinelgin & Hideaki Shinoda eds., 2001). This theory is not limited to a modern Western based theory, but can also be found in Islamic, Buddhist, and other cultures. \textit{Id}.
  \item Koran 21:30.
  \item The World Conservation Union, \textit{Water in the Holy Koran}, available at http://www.iucn.org/places/medoffice/nabp/web/documents/awareness/32.pdf; Koran 25: 48-49 (“We send down pure water from the sky, - that with it We may give life to a dead land, and slake the thirst of things We have created, - cattle and men in great numbers.”).
  \item Koran 7:31.
  \item Id.
  \item Id. at 114.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
Talmud, a record of rabbinic discussions pertaining to Jewish law, ethics, customs, and history, states that water cannot be sold from a public cistern.\textsuperscript{51} It also states that the laws of hospitality require that water must be provided for strangers after the owner has partaken.\textsuperscript{52}

One of the difficulties in trying to quantify moral influences is that they tend to be founded in ethical traditions, which can vary over cultures.\textsuperscript{53} Competing moral traditions challenge universal demands of moral obligation; therefore, international diplomacy may require reconciliation of these varying traditions.\textsuperscript{54} However, in the case of water, there appears to be an ethical consistency, either in local customs or religion, which promotes its valuation and protection. This facilitates the policymaker’s ability to convert non-binding local traditions into binding regulations and potentially minimizes conflict when a shared water resource is involved.

\textbf{C. Ethics and Decision Making}

"[P]olitical decision making is a domain in which morality and power coalesce."\textsuperscript{55} Political morality consists of moral values and norms applicable to the political life of communities—local and international—and applies to the decisions of government officials on behalf of the public.\textsuperscript{56} Much like personal morality, "political morality is rooted in binding norms expressed by the formal and informal rules of a domestic society, whereas international political morality is rooted in the shared norms embodied in the conventions, informal agreements, and declarations that states accept as obligatory in their international relations."\textsuperscript{57} "[M]orality helps define the goals and purposes of states and other actors" and can offer general vision for policy.\textsuperscript{58}

It is generally believed that the purpose of the state is to serve the common good, the public welfare, peace, and prosperity. It exists for the good of its citizens—to help people do what they are unable to do for themselves.\textsuperscript{59} National interest should represent the common good of the members of political

\textsuperscript{51} DE VILLIERS, supra note 47, at 59.
\textsuperscript{52} Id.
\textsuperscript{53} See AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 47; See e.g., Gelb & Rosenthal, supra note 4, at 7 (stating that United States may have a different moral track than other countries).
\textsuperscript{54} AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 49.
\textsuperscript{55} Id. at 198.
\textsuperscript{56} Id. at 5.
\textsuperscript{57} Id. at 9.
\textsuperscript{58} Id. at 12.
\textsuperscript{59} SHEERAN, supra note 17, at 137.
communities organized as sovereign states but does not necessarily mean the common good of "nations" which represents people united by ethnicity, language, etc. However, the two do not have to be mutually exclusive. Political morality does not equal personal morality, and some actions allowed by the former would not be allowed by the latter.

Although decision-makers are unclear about the role of ethics and values in decision-making, it is often apparent that an ethical dimension does play a part. There are three elements of an ethical position. The first is the acceptance of a set of moral principles. The second is a personal perception of the factual situation, which calls for a subjective judgment of the circumstances. Third, there must be a derived moral judgment on the particular issue, which constitutes that ethics-based action. Within each of these elements are a range of options based on background and the unpredictable human element.

"An ethic is a limitation on freedom of action in the struggle for existence." These limitations on state authority place legal and moral constraints on actions available to the state. In democratic societies, these can include self-imposed restraints, such as a constitution or laws. In the case of a sovereign power, unethical actions may be limited only by the internal threat of overthrow or encouraged through foreign pressure, such as embargos or tarnished reputation.

"[I]n domestic and international politics... government decisions do not lend themselves to simple moral verdicts." This is due in large part to the complexity

60. David Welch, Morality and "the National Interest", in ETHICS IN INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS 3, 7 (Andrew Valls ed., 2000).
61. Id. at 9.
62. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 5.
63. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 19.
64. PRISCOLI ET AL., supra note 45, at 10.
65. Id.
66. Id.
67. Leopold, supra note 22, at 42.
70. LITTLE, supra note 69, at 28; Naushen Hassan, U.S. Involvement in the Sanctions Against Iraq: A Potential Basis for a Legal Claim by Iraqi Women?, 11 S. CAL. REV. L. & WOMEN'S STUD. 189, 205-07 (2001) (describing the effects of economic sanctions employed by the United States against Iraq created to debilitate the country by denying the import of basic materials). One example of the power of reputation is Jimmie Carter's perceived influence on leader's moral values as seen by his public condemnation of countries known for oppression and human rights violations. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 13.
71. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 4.
72. AMSTUTZ, supra note 15, at 4.
of issues. Decision-makers must select the most desirable action from a number of available alternatives, each of which may have moral implications. Local impacts, as well as the global dimension, including rules, practices, and institutions, must be considered. "The aim of such moral reflection is to assess the justice of the existing world system." A balance must be created between morality and the national interest. One of the ways this is accomplished is through ethical theories of conduct.

III. Ethical Considerations

When attempting to understand the role of ethics in water-related decision-making, it is helpful to first understand some ethical theories that might impact such choices. Because water is a natural resource, ethical concepts that apply to people, the environment, or both have bearing. In determining water resource management, these theories, which discuss how we treat nature both alone and in relation to our own survival, can provide guidance to policy-makers. The inclusion of these and other ethical parameters in the decision process will help ensure that the water needs of all communities are met now and in the future.

A. Environmental Ethics

Traditionally, ethics has applied primarily to people; however, as the natural world is better understood and appreciated, a movement to incorporate the environment in ethical principles is occurring. For numerous cultures, this requires a reassessment of humanity's relationship with the environment. In the past, many societies, and particularly Western civilization, have related to the environment in terms of what they could gain from it. This anthropogenic attitude is premised on the notion that people are more important than their surroundings. Little to no value is placed on nature itself. "For environmental ethics, the first question is whose well-being is available for and worthy of consideration..." "An environmental ethic would impose limitations on human freedom of action in relationship to nonhuman natural entities and to nature as a whole."

73. Id.
74. Id.
75. See Welch, supra note 60, at 7.
76. RICHARD SYLVAN & DAVID BENNETT, THE GREENING OF ETHICS 61 (1994); See Booth & Jacobs, supra note 38, at 131.
77. See STENMARK, supra note 13, at 15; ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 27.
78. CUOMO, supra note 31, at 41.
79. CALLICOTT, supra note 16, at 1.
One of the first texts to recognize the relationship between man and the earth was Aldo Leopold's, *The Land Ethic*. In that text, Leopold challenges the status quo of allowing government to make all decisions regarding the environment. He argues that this system lacks an important ethical component necessary in the extension of social conscience from people to the land they enjoy. A later text, which chronicled human's impact on our natural surrounding, was Lynn White Jr.’s, *The Historical Roots of Our Ecologic Crisis*. Citing the introduction of widespread technological power, he provides many examples of our negative impact on ecology. He postulates that the solution will not be found in increasing the application of science and technology, but rather on understanding the man-nature relationship particularly in light of deeply rooted Christian dogma, which dominates western culture. By understanding this, White hopes society will reach an appreciation for all parts of nature, not just for nature’s ability to serve man. This appreciation is the root of environmental ethics.

Environmental ethics does not necessarily operate to the exclusion of people. Rather, it is “the systematic and critical study of the moral judgments and attitudes which (consciously or unconsciously) guide human beings in the way they behave towards nature.” Understanding our dependence on nature increases our respect for that system. Ironically, past human practices, which were based on the preservation and the quality of human life, now threaten the sustainability of human life. The destruction of the resource can eventually impact ethics among people as supplies become more limited. “Respect for life does demand an ethic concerned about human welfare, an ethic...now applied to the environment.”

81. *Id.*
83. *Id.* at 1203 -06.
84. *Id.* at 1206.
85. *Id.* at 1207.
86. STENMARK, *supra* note 13, at 15.
88. SYLVAN & BENNETT, *supra* note 76, at 62.
89. See Paol Harremoës, *Water Ethics - A Substitute for Over-regulation of a Scarce Resource*, 45 WATER SCI. & TECH. 113, 117-19 (2002) (explaining even in an expanded ethical paradigm, when pressure increases on the individual, such as in times of war, the values becomes more introvert and priority returns to the individual and family).
Actions that applied previous ethical constructs that did not adversely affect human life, but were negative actions toward the environment, must be rethought. A new ethic must be developed that either qualitatively and quantitatively changes the way we deal with the environment, or current ethics must be polished to apply to the human/environment relationship. The beauty and recreation values of nature can be an ethic that contributes to decision-making. These environmental ethics provide a context for a water ethic.

B. Sustainable Development

The theory of sustainable development provides a strong ethical link between natural resources and the people who utilize them. The World Commission on Environment and Development defines sustainable development as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." Temporally extending the principle of justice and equality creates ethical obligations toward future inhabitants. Also intrinsic in the sustainable development approach is the protection of the vulnerable members of society. This evaluation examines the relationship "between the social and environmental aspects of economic development."

91. SYLVAN & BENNETT, supra note 76, at 62.
92. Id.
93. Janna Thompson, Aesthetics and the Value of Nature, in ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS 159, 159 (Michael Boylan ed., 2001). Value given to the environment can range from its value independent of people, such as aesthetics, to realizing the benefits it offers including fishing, kayaking and other recreational uses. SANDRA POSTEL, PILLAR OF SAND: CAN THE IRRIGATION MIRACLE LAST? 118 (1999); see EUGENE C. HARGROVE, FOUNDATIONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS, 79-86 (1989).
96. See id. at ¶¶ 4-6 (supporting the fact that sustainable development is limited by moral considerations by linking environmental protection with the ability of people to have a better life); ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS 375 (Michael Boylan ed., 2001); STENMARK, supra note 13, at 21.
To achieve sustainability, environmental protection must be a vital part of the development process. Environmental policy documents often view nature as a resource that can be renewable or nonrenewable, but nature should be viewed as a resource that people have the right to use as long as the productive potential of the ecosystems is unthreatened. In this vein, if development causes environmental degradation in one area, there must be an improvement in another area to maintain a balance resulting in a pride of place and the shaping of environmental management. "[S]ustainable development is not a fixed state of harmony, but rather a process of change in which the exploitation of resources, the direction of investments, the orientation of technological development, and institutional change are made consistent with future as well as present needs." Sustainable development and economic growth are not only compatible, they are necessary elements that can only be achieved when the poor are able to live in a way that does not threaten the environment upon which they survive. Through improved technology and social organization, a new era of economic growth can be achieved without compromising the environment for the future. To be truly sustainable, poorer regions must not only have economic growth, but also an assurance that resources will be equitably distributed.

Global considerations are also intrinsic in this theory. By recognizing that some parts of the world live beyond their ecological means, changes must be made to create a balance for societies that do not have enough resources available. As commodity production increases, benefiting the wealthy, pollution and resource depletion necessary for manufacture victimize poor communities and nations. Working from the premise of an international economy and shared ownership of

100. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 24-26 Many people think this objective is impossible to achieve because it would require a growth ceiling on development. ATTFIELD, supra note 1, at 101. The argued solution is carefully planned management of nature within development so that the resources will last longer and be used more efficiently. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 26.  
101. ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS, supra note 96, at 375; STENMARK, supra note 13, at 21.  
102. WCED, supra note 95, at part I(3)(30).  
103. STENMARK, supra note 13, at 20-21.  
104. WCED, supra note 95, at part I(3)(27).  
105. Id. at part I (3)(28).  
106. See id. at ch. 2, ¶ 2.  
107. Id.; at ch. 2, ¶ 5.  
the world environment, a shift toward risk minimization in commodity production will have positive benefits on long-term global protection and sustainability.\footnote{See Low & Gleeson, supra note 98, at 210-16.}

Humans are integral parts in the ecological system upon which their survival depends.\footnote{STENMARK, supra note 13, at 23.} Because of the essential role of people, physical health is an important aspect of sustainability. A natural resource can be defined as “everything which human beings can make use of in nature to satisfy their needs.”\footnote{Id. at 25.} In essence, sustainable development necessitates that we respect the basic rights assigned to every human being.\footnote{Id. at 24.}

Of the various needs that need to be preserved for future generations, few are more important than water. Since many low-income populations do not have access to a sufficient quantity and quality of water, sustainable development is impaired in these communities.\footnote{MONICA PORTO, WATER AND ETHICS: HUMAN HEALTH AND SANITATION 6 (2004), available at http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0013/001363/136324e.pdf.} Although “needs” can be viewed as a subjective term, the basic need for water can be quantified.\footnote{See Wilfred Beckerman, ‘Sustainable Development’: Is it a Useful Concept?, in ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS 377, 379-89 (Michael Boylan ed., 2001); See Amy Hardberger, Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Water: Evaluating Water as a Human Right and the Duties and Obligations it Creates, 4 NW. U. J. INT’L HUM. RTS. 331, 353-60 (2005) (reviewing different methodologies that assess the minimum amount of water required for life); WCED, supra note 95, at ch. 2, ¶ 4 (attempting to quantify need by listing food, clothing, shelter, jobs, and aspiration for an improved quality of life).} Any ethic addressing the sustainability of future generations should include water considerations. Similar arguments can also be made for moral obligations toward current populations.

C. Environmental Justice

Another ethical theory that is closely related to the human aspect of sustainable development, while focusing on the present, is that of environmental justice. \footnote{Robert R. Kuehn, A Taxonomy of Environmental Justice, in ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE: LAW, POLICY & REGULATION 6 (Clifford Rechtschaffenn & Eileen Gauna eds., 2002) (citing Exec. Order No. 12,898, 59 Fed. Reg. 7629 (Feb. 16, 1994), amended by Exec. Order No. 12,948, 60 Fed. Reg. 6381, (Feb. 1, 1995)) (stating that the five most vulnerable groups have been identified as the poorest third of all households; women; children and...}

Former President Bill Clinton described environmental justice as “identifying and addressing . . . disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects . . . on . . . low-income populations.”\footnote{Id. at 25.} The United States Environmental Protection Agency later expanded that definition of environmental justice to:
The fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. EPA has this goal for all communities and persons across this Nation. It will be achieved when everyone enjoys the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards and equal access to the decision-making process to have a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work.\textsuperscript{116}

The objectives of the environmental justice movement, which were first espoused in the U.S. in the 1970s, are now recognized and pursued around the world.\textsuperscript{117}

The primary focus of environmental justice is “fairness” and “justice.”\textsuperscript{118} Justice is further broken down to include: distributive, procedural, corrective, and social justice.\textsuperscript{119} Distributive justice means that all citizens have an equal right to goods or opportunities.\textsuperscript{120} This equal right includes equal distribution of environmental hazards as well as protections.\textsuperscript{121} Some advocates argue that the duty imposed is the overall reduction in environmental risks.\textsuperscript{122} Among the goods included in distributive justice are environmental benefits, such as safe drinking water.\textsuperscript{123}

More advantaged communities often receive greater environmental protection as a result in part of their ability to participate in regulatory decisions.\textsuperscript{124} Procedural justice provides for equal treatment of citizens in many procedural

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{117} John Byrne et al., \textit{A Brief on Environmental Justice, in} 8 \textit{ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE: DISCOURSES IN INTERNATIONAL POLITICAL ECONOMY ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY} 1, 3 (John Byrne et al. eds., 2002).
\bibitem{119} Kuehn, \textit{supra} note 115, at 6.
\bibitem{120} \textit{Id.} at 8. Philosopher John Rawls focused on distributive justice in his efforts to reconcile liberty and equality. Appealing to the concept of a social contract, he argues that justice as fairness can be applied to everyone regardless of the personalities or social position. \textit{See generally,} JOHN RAWLS, \textit{A THEORY OF JUSTICE} (1999).
\bibitem{122} Kuehn, \textit{supra} note 115, at 8.
\bibitem{123} \textit{Id.}
\bibitem{124} Rechtschaffenn & Gauna, \textit{supra} note 118, at 3.
\end{thebibliography}
aspects to ensure that everyone has a voice. Many agencies have attempted to achieve this through public participation, creating an open forum free from economic favoritism. Corrective justice, also called compensatory or restorative justice, looks at the punishment mechanism of noncompliant governments. Finally, social justice looks to the better ordering of society so that peoples' needs are fully met. This merge of socialism and the environmental movement broadens the focus of environmental justice, viewing it as part of a greater problem of disparity that needs to be addressed.

Although environmental justice finds its roots at a national level in the U.S., this theory has been applied in an international context and has applications to water policy because “water is a major element of the natural environment.” The lower socio-economic brackets, women, and children are most often affected by the consequences of an inadequate water supply. Similar disparities are found between urban and rural areas. “Some 80% of those who have no access to improved sources of drinking-water are the rural poor.” City authorities often do not recognize excessively poor shanty towns located on the edges of a larger city, such as Mexico City, and do not extend support infrastructures into these areas.

Environmental justice will have increased application in water planning as resources become scarcer. The American southwest is already facing the

125. Kuehn, supra note 115, at 9. The United Nations specifically recommended procedural safeguards for water by stating, “any person or groups who have been denied their right to water should have access to effective judicial or other appropriate remedies,” and there should not be any interference with a person’s right to water without an opportunity for consultation. U.N. Econ. & Soc. Council [ECOSOC], Comm. on Econ., Soc., & Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 15 (2002): The Right to Water (arts. 11 and 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights) at ¶¶ 55-56, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/2002/11 (Jan. 20, 2003) [hereinafter General Comment 15].
127. Id. at 10.
128. Id.
129. Id. at 10-11.
130. See THE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE READER: POLITICS, POETICS & PEDAGOGY, supra note 130, at 22.
132. Id. at 22.
133. Id.
134. Id.
135. See THE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE READER: POLITICS, POETICS & PEDAGOGY, supra note 130, at 22.
challenge of rich businessmen trying to buy water for resale at a large profit. The ability of the rich to take water from the poor for a profit violates the idea of distributive justice. Incorporating a justice ethic in water distribution can assist in the reduction of poverty by bringing poor people’s living conditions to a higher standard that is more comparable to those with greater means. This argument becomes particularly applicable in the north/south debate.

The north/south debate argues that more developed nations environmentally exploit less developed nations. These regions are distinguished by “historically determined social and economic conditions resulting from their colonial and imperial past,” creating a “qualitative dividing line” between them. The more economically powerful northern countries have focused on environmental issues more important to them, but the rise of environmental justice would obligate a priority shift to ensure the southern countries’ needs are met as well. The question then becomes whether the northern countries have an obligation to assist the southern countries in achieving their goals because responsibilities and duties of environmental justice can be held by more than one entity, country, or international body. If world maintenance is viewed as a global responsibility, cooperation and implementation of policies would be the duty of all nations especially those with more resources.

136. See e.g., id. at 21 (describing a situation where a multimillionaire proposed to mine a confined aquifer in the rural San Luis Valley to sell the water to the cities of Reno and Law Vegas). A similar situation exists in Northern Texas. Starting in 2001, millionaire T. Boone Pickens bought land with a plan to mine the fossil Ogallala aquifer of 200,000 acre-feet of water and sell it to larger cities. Joe Nick Patoski, Boone Pickens Wants To Sell You His Water, TEXAS MONTHLY, August 2001. Many farmers who rely on the aquifer for their farming livelihood protested this idea; however, under the right of capture in Texas, there may not be legal means to stop this type of sale from occurring. Id.

137. International documents, such as the UN’s General Comment No. 15, attempt to remedy this disparity by stating that although everyone has a right to water “States parties should give special attention to those individuals and groups who have traditionally faced difficulties in exercising this right . . . .” General Comment 15, supra note 125, ¶ 16.
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Environmental justice is not without criticism. One complaint is that the movement focuses more on procedure, such as public participation, than on improving environmental effects on human health. Because the movement is currently more politics than public health, improvements to health standards are often secondary to policy advocacy. Another complaint is the shift from a health or risk focus to one of nondiscriminatory prioritization actually endangers those it seeks to protect.

Despite the possible weaknesses of environmental justice in enforcement and policy implementation, its strength is its ability to empower and mobilize people at a grassroots level. The focus on social and political power, as opposed to economics, makes it a powerful decision-making tool at the local and international level by giving less fortunate states leverage to demand equality in environmental and distributive matters, including the demand for sufficient quantities of water.

D. The Role of the Public

One component of environmental justice and sustainable development, which can also be seen as a stand-alone ethic, is that of allowing the public to wholly participate in decision-making. Since the individual is the source of actions, ethical or otherwise, the inclusion of the public is paramount in achieving success. True participation means that no one, particularly the poor or other disenfranchised groups, is excluded from participation in institutions necessary for human survival and fulfillment. "Public involvement holds the promise of improving the management of international watercourses and reducing the potential for conflict over water issues." No policy can be successful without the acceptance and participation of the people in their daily activities; therefore, ethical values and attitudes become central to this premise.
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Participation improves the quality of decisions, facilitates the decision-making process, improves credibility, and enhances implementation. A true participatory process should allow for input during the planning and implementation stages as well as providing a means for affected members of the public to seek redress for any harm arising from mismanagement. Because the public views these projects as a whole, including all stages of development and operation, the absence of one of these interactive opportunities could threaten the validity of the participatory process.

Alienating the public, who will be affected by a project, often leads to increased resistance, including protests and legal contests, which can have significant cost impacts. This cost is often greater than that associated with public involvement. A range of entities including financial institutions, governments, water authorities, and United Nations groups can share this investment. Together, early inclusion efforts can protect the long-term security of a project.

Increasingly recognized in international and national law, public participation is included in many environmental declarations. The Rio Declaration states:

Environmental issues are best handled with the participation of all concerned citizens, at the relevant level. At the national level, each individual shall have appropriate access to information concerning the environment that is held by public authorities, including information on hazardous materials and activities in their communities, and the opportunity to participate in decision-making processes.

The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe later adopted this principle. The Aarhus Convention, as it is referenced, sets forth three minimum standards for national level decision-making: 1) public participation in environmental decision-making; 2) the right to access information; and 3) equal access to justice.

152. BRUCH ET AL., supra note 150, at 6, 11-14 There is a range of participatory processes and techniques, some more successful than others. See id. at 11-14. Although this article does not seek to evaluate these various approaches, any entity seeking to implement these methods should review previous projects to ensure success.
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Public participation has already been an important component of past successful water projects around the world. As participation increases, so does the proportion of water systems in good condition, percentage of target populations reached, and environmental benefits. These projects also discovered that other participatory measures, including input in implementation as well as local ownership and control, resulted in a more successful program. In many situations, the ability to participate is a new way to approach these issues. This new sense of empowerment creates a willingness to compromise on issues, which contributes to project success. These practical, as well as ethical, considerations support the need for public participation, particularly in water projects.

IV. The Impact of Ethics on Water

When attempting to understand the role of ethics in decision-making, water provides a natural framework because ethical issues are present in every facet of water management, “including planning, regulating, operating, financing and investing, designing, and implementing.” The indivisible relationship between water and basic survival generates an ethical relationship between policy-makers and affected communities. All decisions regarding water supply, allocation, or pricing will have both clear and hidden implications for water users, particularly those with limited means.

Historically, water management functioned on a “command and control” basis. This approach represents an elitist and centralized top-down management approach. Although this can be effective for large water projects, it is less efficient for distributed water handling in agricultural, industry, and domestic situations. Developing an ethical value towards the environment in consumers and industry can be a far more effective and cheaper means of regulation than the
command and control method or other economic instruments.\textsuperscript{169} Diluting the decision-making control of the current power elite could empower the previously disenfranchised.\textsuperscript{170}

A water ethic can find roots in various ethical theories. A successful ethic must have broad-based support from government, religion, and secular groups involved in community relationships because, by definition, groups cannot impose ethics upon one another.\textsuperscript{171} An ethic assumes equality of people and requires participation based on the unified customs and values of the community.\textsuperscript{172} "Society must promote values that support the new ethic and discourage those that are incompatible with a sustainable way of life."\textsuperscript{173}

Ethics lie in both codified and uncodified codes of conduct.\textsuperscript{174} Ethical restrictions first affect behavioral rules, which can ultimately be codified into law.\textsuperscript{175} "An ethic defines both rights and responsibilities" where each "individual has a responsibility to respect the rights of others."\textsuperscript{176} Often seen as part of the broader concept of social ethics, discussions regarding water and ethics revolve around the notion of water as a common good.\textsuperscript{177} Because water has strong ties to human dignity and provides basic survival needs, it is difficult to separate it from social justice.\textsuperscript{178} Environmental, and by extension water, protection presents a moral challenge by forcing states to consider the impact of their behavior on the well-being of domestic and foreign peoples and the potential impact on future generations.\textsuperscript{179}

It is important to differentiate between local and global politics when discussing how decisions are made within the confines of ethical obligations. A cross-cultural consensus on ethics and rights can be difficult in a time where different societies place varying importance on mores and values.\textsuperscript{180} However, there is widespread
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agreement that some human rights claims are legitimate and empower people to
demand these rights from their states and the international community. 181 Among
these are respect for human life and honorable treatment. 182 Water is a natural
component of both. Although no major society currently lives according to a value
system that properly protects the future of humans, the beginnings of an ethic of
water can be seen in select domestic and international laws. 183

A. Laws and Regulations

1. Domestic

Ethical considerations in relation to water can been found in many state
policies. In addition, states are bound by international documents and treaties that
reflect the previously described ethical theories as well as human rights, which
have an ethical foundation. 184 Ethical issues which can affect policy include: basic
conditions of water supply, such as quantity and quality; services that are
sustainable over the long term; and management practices, particularly ensuring
equity and participation. 185

Some governments already include basic rights to water in their legal system.
One example is the South African Bill of Rights. South Africa is one of a handful
of countries to include the right to water in the rights afforded to all citizens. 186
Section 27 of their Bill of Rights states that “everyone has the right to have access
to. . .sufficient food and water.” 187 The Water Services Act passed in 1997, gives
effect to Section 27. 188 It echoes the Bill of Rights’ sentiment that everyone has a
right to a basic water supply and provides a protocol for any discontinuation of
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service. This protocol requires that the interruption of service be fair and equitable and that reasonable notice be provided. It also states that service cannot be denied if the person proves to the utility company that they cannot pay. The ethical basis of this rule is clear. The state is controlled, at least in part, by the value placed on the health of the community as a whole and its ability to access sufficient water.

South African courts have been successful in enforcing this right, proving that the inclusion of water as a right can empower citizens who normally might not be heard. In *Residents of Bon Vista Mansions v. Southern Metropolitan Local Council*, residents of Bon Vista Mansions claimed the Local Council had unlawfully discontinued their municipal water supply for lack of payment. The court held that the citizens' constitutional right to water was infringed upon because the Council's procedures for disconnection were not fair and equitable. Specifically, the court was concerned with the lack of reasonable termination notice. The court further stated that, even in the event of nonpayment, service could not be terminated if the person proved to the satisfaction of the utility company that they were unable to pay. Interestingly, the court's opinion did not require a government to provide access to water services, but limited the obligation to the non-affirmative obligation to respect the right to access. Other cases in South Africa have not been as successful in protecting access to water, supporting the concept that the right to water must be carefully defined to ensure proper application and maximum protection.
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In India, water is not an explicit right listed in the constitution; however, courts at the state and federal levels have interpreted the constitutional right to life to include the right to safe and sufficient water.\textsuperscript{199} In one Indian case, a group raised concerns that pumping groundwater was increasing the salinity of the resource—causing long-term detriment.\textsuperscript{200} The judge, stating that the right to water should be afforded to citizens as an extension of the right to life, ordered more research to understand the effects that pumping may have on the water source.\textsuperscript{201} While South Africa and India explicitly establish a citizen’s right to water, other countries are less overt.

Most United States citizens are familiar with phrase, “all men are... endowed... with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”\textsuperscript{202} The full understanding of the rights included is somewhat less clear. Generally, the rights implied through the U.S. Constitution and accompanying documents are not evident in the document itself, but are established through legislation and case law where the role of ethics is unclear and can vary.\textsuperscript{203} Although the United States does not specifically list a water ethic in government documents, there is evidence that it is recognized.

During the 108\textsuperscript{th} Congress, Representative Janice Schakowsky of Illinois submitted a resolution titled, “Expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to the world’s freshwater resources.”\textsuperscript{204} This resolution recognizes the critical situation of the world’s water supply and states that Congress shall consider water a public trust and a public good and not a private commodity.\textsuperscript{205} The resolution also recognizes that policies should be implemented to ensure all individuals have sufficient access to meet their basic human needs and prohibits denial of access to water based on economic restraints.\textsuperscript{206} Other goals of the resolution include:
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organizational involvement in local water management; sustainable agricultural practices; commitment to the UN’s Millennium Development Goals; consideration of water issues in financial and trade agreements; and accountability for pollution of a water resource. Although this resolution has not yet been approved, its ethical underpinnings are clear. It is premised on the importance and need to protect citizens’ right to water and recognizes the importance of the resource itself.

In a similar vein, Congress passed an act in 2005 called “Senator Paul Simon Water for the Poor Act.” The purpose was to assist developing countries in increasing access to and effective use of safe water and sanitation, improve water resources management, increase water productivity, and increase water security by strengthening cooperation on shared waters. The first report in response to the act, released in June 2006, begins a long-term process to develop and implement a strategy to strengthen the United States’ efforts on international water issues. Recognition of a water ethic within domestic policy and increased valuation of water, in relation to those who depend on it, are significant steps in expanding these types of regulations globally.

2. International

In addition to domestic law, ethics plays a part in international treaties and policy. Many of these rise to the level of customary international law, making them binding on many states even if they were not signatories. International law has produced a generally accepted code of behavior which prescribes behavior that maintains harmony. In order for a doctrine to be considered customary international law, it must be extensive and virtually uniform. Additionally, only
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states that are particularly affected by the proposed norm are subject to this implicit customary international law.\textsuperscript{213}

There is little in international law explicitly proclaiming a water ethic; however, the tools which could create one are well established. Previously discussed principles such as sustainable development and public participation are frequently presented in treaties and framework conventions. Although these theories may not yet hold the status of customary international law, as they continue to be included in international documents and implemented by states, their status is strengthened.\textsuperscript{214}

Perhaps the seminal international document presenting sustainable development is Agenda 21 from the United Nations' 1992 Rio de Janeiro meeting.\textsuperscript{215} The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development was developed and subsequently signed by 178 governments. The Commission on Sustainable Development was later formed to ensure effective follow-up procedures of the goals set forth in the documents. In 2002, the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) held in Johannesburg, South Africa, reiterated the goals established in Rio.\textsuperscript{216}

Public participation was also a large part of the Rio Declaration.\textsuperscript{217} The ability for citizens to have a voice in the institutions that control their lives is an integral part of sustainability.\textsuperscript{218} Other international water documents, while not explicitly requiring participation, either prescribe public access to information or local involvement.\textsuperscript{219} Participation is also an important part of both the Aarhus
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Convention and the London Protocol, both of which discuss this issue in conjunction with water.220 The latter seeks to promote human health and well-being within a framework of sustainable development by improving water management.221 Although no uniform practice of public participation is found in international documents, it is mentioned with increasing frequency.

Another way ethics can merge with international law is in the area of human rights. International human rights are often seen as part of international law, but not of international ethics; however, morality-driven directives are a part of international ethics.222 "[T]he notion of human rights was from its inception an ethical idea - a claim based on morality."223 People are entitled to certain things because they are based on their moral worth as human beings regardless of race, ethnicity, religion, or where they are from.224 Therefore, the claim that a person has to such a right is not based on a rule, but rather is a benefit earned by being a part of society.225 Human rights are a result of international discussions that attempted to merge conceptual differences of what should constitute such rights.226 Rights are implied when the actions a state can take are limited.227 They have an impact because they are an important and powerful way to state principles.228 They also provide enforcement mechanisms to citizens, such as litigation.229 Rights and duties form the foundation of justice because they are generally held by citizens and enforceable against the state.230 Human rights recognize that although the global community is made up of several different cultures, each person is entitled to dignity, respect, and equal treatment by their governments.231
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Human rights fall into two distinct categories: 1) welfare rights, defined as rights which assure the provision of certain goods or services considered necessary for human well-being; and 2) liberty rights, which include the right not to be interfered with or maltreated. Welfare rights include economic, social, and cultural rights. They are considered positive rights because they require affirmative action by governments. In contrast, a government generally secures liberty rights, which include civil and political rights, by ensuring noninterference with the right. The category in which a right is placed determines the governmental duties it imposes and defines whether a state must take affirmative steps to provide the right or simply guard against its deprivation.

Sometimes human rights are codified into a government document such as a Bill of Rights. These rights, called positive rights, are the easiest to enforce because the state has recognized their existence and enforcement mechanisms are included in local law. More frequently, however, rights are unwritten and are only implicit requirements of society. These are called moral rights and enforcement is much more difficult. When a government does not explicitly recognize the rights of its citizens, international law can provide a means to require their protection.

Statements of human rights, particularly the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, play an important part in defending people from subjugation in the name of some “common good.” The need to defend both individual rights and to protect and preserve common needs and shared resources is paramount.
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and rights should receive equal emphasis. The human right to water is one opportunity by which to ensure that ethics are included in water management.

a. Water as a Human Right

Establishing water as a human right is a changing process that finds its foundation in a dependent past while seeking an independent future. Early discussions focused on whether water should be an independent human right to ensure maximum benefits and enforcement mechanisms for citizens. A rights-based approach, environmental justice, and sustainable development proponents all agree that water must be a right, each advancing different but related assertions to support this need. Since its inception, great strides have been made in the global recognition of the basic right to water and the need to ensure widespread access.

Although increasing numbers of international documents are calling for a human right to water, its first recognition was under the right to life. In 1948, the United Nations' Commission on Human Rights drafted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). One of the critical ideas expressed by the UDHR is that human rights are universal and international. The Declaration, reflecting many ethical principles, provides "[e]veryone has the right to life, liberty and security of person." Although there is no specific definition of "life" in the UDHR, some clarification can be found in its later articles. For example, Article 25 states, "[e]veryone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services . . . ."

The U.N. subsequently adopted two human rights covenants: the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and the International Covenant of Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) to further explain the rights
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listed in the Declaration of Human Rights. The ICCPR is primarily concerned with political rights; however, its declarations can be applied to other situations. This covenant contains strong language guaranteeing all people the right to life. Because the covenant does not define the limitations meant by the word “life,” it is reasonable to infer that the deprivation of life-sustaining substances such as water should violate the right to life.

The ICESCR addresses people’s basic social rights, which include the right to an adequate standard of living as well as the right to “the highest attainable standard of physical . . . health.” The ICESCR further explains that to achieve these ends, a country should improve environmental hygiene and prevent disease. The covenant also provides that, “[i]n no case, may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence” or adequate food. Both of these allowances could implicitly include water. Another important aspect to this document, in contrast to its sister document, is that the ICESCR attempts to protect “second generation rights,” which are generally positive in nature requiring the government to affirmatively provide the services defined.

These documents were followed by the landmark General Comment No. 15, which recognized water as a separate right included within the ICESCR stating it was “one of the most fundamental conditions for survival.” This comment not only recognizes that water is a limited resource; it also links the right to water to other human rights including the right to life, health, adequate standard of living, and adequate food. In addition, the comment promotes the sanction model of
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the ICCPR to the ICESCR and gives the committee the power to require action from the states.\textsuperscript{258}

The right is divided into three categories—availability, quality, and accessibility—each creating separate requirements for compliance.\textsuperscript{259} Availability includes quantities for continuous personal and domestic uses.\textsuperscript{260} The quality condition attempts to ensure the water is free of disease-causing contaminants.\textsuperscript{261} The accessibility prong is the most developed including the subcategories of physical accessibility, economic accessibility, and non-discrimination.\textsuperscript{262} The basic premise is that water should be physically available to all people and free of economic encumbrances.\textsuperscript{263} The scope of all these requirements is conditioned on local settings.\textsuperscript{264}

Human rights is an affective avenue to witness the role of ethics in decision-making. Within this construct are representations of many previously discussed ideals. Water’s importance to life and health provides a logical ethical link to life and its protection. Further, a human right to water is premised on the ability of citizens to enforce their rights against the state, empowering people to demand this critical resource.\textsuperscript{265} As these rights continue to be developed, they will either be codified into local law or can ultimately be enforceable against states as customary law, reflecting an integration of ethics and law.

\textbf{V. Conclusion}

The need for a water ethic arises out of decreasing resources and increasing demand worldwide. In addition, the recognition that large populations do not have access to sufficient clean water for health and sanitation has started a new dialogue

\begin{itemize}
\item access to such essentials as food \textcolor{red}{[and]} water \ldots in inadequate measure” present a “massive violation of human rights”); Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women art. 14(2)(h), Dec. 18, 1979, 1249 U.N.T.S. 13; Convention on the Rights of the Child art. 6, Nov. 20, 1989, 1577 U.N.T.S. 3.
\item SALMAN & McInerney-Lankford, \textit{supra} note 233, at 47.
\item General Comment 15, \textit{supra} note 125, at ¶ 12(a)-(c); \textit{See} Hardberger, \textit{supra} note 114, at 353, 360.
\item General Comment 15, \textit{supra} note 125, at ¶ 12(a).
\item \textit{Id.} at ¶ 12(b).
\item \textit{Id.} at ¶ 12(c)(i)-(iii).
\item \textit{Id.}
\item \textit{Id.} at ¶ 12.
\item WHO, \textit{supra} note 131, at 31; \textit{See} Amy Hardberger, \textit{Whose Job is it Anyway?: Governmental Obligations Created by the Human Right to Water}, 41 \textsc{Tex. Int’l L. J.} 533, 541-66 (2006) (describing various situations in which the human right to water can be enforced against governments by the public).
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about the role that water may play in ideas of morality.\textsuperscript{266} Quite simply, the \textit{status quo} of water resource planning does not seem to be working. Soon, new choices will have to be made about how water is used, who is entitled to it, how it is managed, the size and technology of new projects, the role of the public, and the role of equity in water treatment and distribution.\textsuperscript{267} Ethics can play a part in each of these decisions.\textsuperscript{268}

One of the keys to successful water policy is changing how we value water. Value cannot be limited to economics; it must be expanded to include both the importance of the environment and its life-giving capabilities.\textsuperscript{269} Existing cultural and religious ethics toward water should become more central in decision-making.\textsuperscript{270} Although many ethical constructs vary over different cultures, water has the advantage of being important in social mores and relations around the world.\textsuperscript{271} This recognition can be leveraged and utilized by decision-makers in developing water policy.

In many ways, water conflicts can be viewed as the harbinger of countless future threats to the human health and the environment caused by globalization. It can equally be seen as an opportunity to change the way states govern by placing more value on people and community sustainability rather than short-term gains, which have been primarily economically focused. Some governments are already moving in this direction.\textsuperscript{272} Their experiences as well as those of the United Nations, nonprofits, and other communities serve as real examples of what can be accomplished.

The presence of domestic and international policies that recognize or protect a person’s right to water is the first step toward realizing a universal water ethic. Included in this understanding is the indivisible relationship between the destiny of humankind and the ecologic systems on which survival is premised. Once this is fully appreciated, it will have an increasing impact on decision-making. Societies
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must move away from focusing who has the right to water and move toward discussing usage in light of resource protection. In the end, it will be to humanity’s benefit to keep the waters flowing.