

In United States District Court
IN The Eastern District of Pennsylvania
Setting In Philadelphia Pennsylvania

Jimi Rose
Vs. PLAINTIFF

DOC. NO.

EMERGENCY STATUS

Facebook Inc .et al
Mark Zuckerberg
1 Hacker Way
Menlo Park, California 94025
DEFENDANTS

PETITION FOR IMMEDIATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to title 42 subsection 1985 and the 1964 Civil Rights Act
The Injuries Complained of arose out of Lehigh County Pennsylvania

The plaintiff is a 72-year-old man who lives alone with his minor child and in that the plaintiff has to protect the best interest of his minor child. The plaintiff has at least 15 other children who also need to be protected from the abuse of the above named defendants.

Brief History Outline of Case

Approximately 2 ½ weeks ago the plaintiff was informed by his children that someone by the name of Dawn Gold, was placing abusive accusations against the plaintiff alleging that the plaintiff, was a rapist and a child molester. The plaintiff does not know Dawn Gold or any of her friends and the plaintiff has absolutely no idea why Dawn Gold and her friends would place on the Internet such outlandish

accusations. The plaintiff does not know anyone affiliated with Dawn Gold and the plaintiff has never ever in his life rape anyone. The plaintiff is never had sex with the 14 a 13-year-old girl in his life and it is dangerous to falsely accuse the plaintiff of such horrendous wrongdoing.

The plaintiff has no other way to bring resolved to this matter to seek injunctive relief To the Powers of the Federal Court. The plaintiff is suffering as a result of this public humiliation and more importantly the plaintiffs' children are suffering as result of these false accusations that were designed to do no more than character assassinate the plaintiff and his family.

The plaintiff has always had 3 or 4 women living within over the past 35 years since his return from California to Pennsylvania in none of those women were 1415 or 16 years old and the plaintiff WAS always The company of centerfold models who were all over the legal age of 18 years old and older and has absolutely no idea who these character are that is creating public and personal ridicule upon the plaintiff and his family. Such false accusations could end up getting the plaintiff killed or a member of his family killed and therefore the plaintiff seeks immediate injunctive relief against Facebook Inc. and Mark Zuckerberg CEO and president of Facebook Inc.

At all times relevant Mark Zuckerberg was acting as host through his company Facebook Inc. to cause the plaintiff personal and public ridicule and placing the plaintiff and his family's life in immediate danger. The plaintiff wrote to Facebook legal department asking that company to cease and desist from allowing the plaintiff's name to be used in such a harsh manner. The plaintiff feels that he has become the victim of a white racist lady and her white racist friends who have but one designed purpose in mind and that is to do great bodily damage and injury both psychologically and physically to the plaintiff and his minor children. At all times relevant Mark Zuckerberg did in fact act as host for the white races females who were permitted through his company to commit a crime against an innocent black man.

Facebook is an international company whose main headquarters is in the State of California and therefore because these defendants are residents of the State of California this Hon. Court has jurisdiction to hear this case because the plaintiff is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and is being injured by a company that operates out of the State of California.

Approximately over a year and a half ago the plaintiff getting notes left in his mailbox demanding \$35,000 in cash because the persons leaving the notes in his mailbox believed that the plaintiff sold his exotic shall bar business for 300,000 and that the notes said that the plaintiff owed some dancer 30 or \$35,000. The plaintiff dissent those notes to the VI County Dist. Atty.'s office and he then went down into the Ghetto and told everyone in the Ghetto that if anything happened to him or his son all the threatening demanding letters notes were in the company of the Lehigh County Dist. Atty.'s office and the plaintiff did not hear anything further until this last incident of the false claims made on Facebook. The plaintiff believes that these people are one of the same and he also believes that his son Chris Ott, could be somehow tied to these false harassing notifications which are now placed unlawfully on Facebook

The plaintiff believes that his son Chris Ott could somehow be connected to these harassing illegal post on Facebook. When the plaintiff used to pose as on Craig's list looking for a housekeeper or live-in companion Chris Ott would respond to the ads by sending pictures of girls that did not exist or who were just prostitutes whatever and he would think that this was funny when the plaintiff would respond back to these people. It was not until the plaintiff told Chris Ott's son that if he continued to stalk him on the Internet he would expose his drug convictions and his drug affiliations with several

huge huge drug dealers that he does work for with this paving business. When Chris Ott stop doing that it was Chris Ott who first informed the plaintiff that these as existed about him on Facebook once again Chris Ott started laughing thinking that it was a joke and shortly thereafter Chris Ott the plaintiff's son disconnected all communications with the plaintiff and the plaintiff believes that it was Chris Ott that may have been the culprit in these false ads being placed on Facebook if not he certainly is affiliated with those persons or person sabotaging the plaintiff in placing the plaintiff's life in jeopardy.

The plaintiff's son Chris Ott, was convicted for being a large-scale cocaine drug dealer several years ago and what is most awkward about this whole entire situation is that Chris Ott knows how the plaintiff feels about drug dealers and more recently the plaintiff lost his son through unlawful drugs being imposed upon the plaintiff's son who at the time was living in Easton Pennsylvania and at that time the investigating detective from Wilson Borough, Pennsylvania stated that the plaintiff son was murdered and the only one that could have murdered to plaintiff's son was his wife Jessica Rose and oddly enough shortly after the murder of the plaintiff's son Chris Ott became friends with Jessica Rose who was under investigation for the murder of the plaintiff's son. Detective Kraus from the Wilson Borough Police Department stated own recording that the plaintiff's son was murdered but he could not prove it. However what is overwhelmingly interesting is that Chris Ott became extremely close friends with Jessica Rose the person who was under investigation for the murder of Jason Rose. Chris Ott did everything in his power to convince the plaintiff that Jessica Rose had nothing to do with the murder of Jason Rose which was the biggest lie since the devil told people he was not the devil.

The plaintiff was perhaps one of the most investigative persons in the history of Lehigh County and in the city of Allentown Pennsylvania in 1982 83,84 and 85 and never was it ever revealed through police investigation by the district attorney's office to Allentown police as well as the Pennsylvania State police that the plaintiff ever had 13 or 14-year-old girls living in his home. For someone to make this type of allegation up that they claim have been 30 years ago is suspect and certainly if such a thing ever did happen they should have went to the police immediately. However because the plaintiff always had 3 or 4 women living within this accusation was virtually impossible to be found to be true. However and the defendant Facebook people can now have access to character assassinate innocent people without having any means to defend against the false accusations and this is why it is sa terribly important that this Court immediately intervene in this proceeding and put a stop immediately to the unlawful actions of Facebook and its company and the CEO Mark Zuckerberg.

The plaintiff, is an innocent man and he is the victim of social media anyone can make any charge and any claim against anybody and posted on the Internet and is nothing that no one can do to prevent it. However the defendants have a duty to screen its material and if that material be imposed it is going to cause injury the defendants should have a practice and policy that is not indifferent to a person's civil rights and liberties and in that they have a mandated duty to protect society against such atrocious infringement upon private person's civil rights and liberties.

ARGUMENT

The defendants maintain a practice and policy that is indifferent to the civil rights and liberties of the plaintiff. The plaintiff is black the culprits are supposedly white and therefore there is a racial divide. The plaintiff is a victim of racial profiling false accusations and in that through the unscreened practices of the defendants the plaintiff has become the victim of again social media junkie's who seem to have nothing better to do with her life for their time that the cause pain and suffering upon innocent persons no matter to what extent that pain may go to. It doesn't matter if the allegations hurt innocent children or innocent persons just as long as the social media culprits and host can entertain the public with garbage.

Defendant Mark Zuckerberg has an unprecedented duty to protect society as a whole and through that ease company must maintain the same responsibility to protect innocent persons from personal attacks and slanderous attacks which fly in the face of the United States Constitution. There can be no greater responsibility that This Court Has then to protect society from social media Co. that prays on the helpless and the weak Facebook Inc. is not bigger than the United States Constitution nor is it your that the federal government and therefore Facebook and its founders are legally responsible and liable for any material that it host on its website because they may not be the hand that wields to Dygert that lands the fatal blow but they are in fact the host of evil deeds.

The First Amendment is well respected by the plaintiff however the First Amendment does not nor ever stated that you could make up a lie about a person to specifically bring that person and his family harm that is not what the framers of the Bill of Rights meant when they adopted the First Amendment. At some point in time this court must be offended if not shocked at the outrageous conduct of Facebook who has apparently risen above the law and has become bigger than the United States Government and more importantly has little or no regard's for the Civil Rights and liberties of minorities that are protected pursuant to the 1964 Civil Rights Act which was adopted specifically to protect black people from having their civil rights and liberties injured by white persons who have little or no respect for federal law or the constitution of the United States.

The plaintiff asked that this Hon. Court issue an immediate injunction against Facebook as well as its founder and CEO Mark Zuckerberg from continuing to allow culprits to advertise false information on their social media network that the Company knows is harmful destructive and could very limit well lead to the crime of assault or murder and when Facebook Inc. does not maintain nor have a policy to prevent these type of crime then Facebook has become a co-conspirator with the culprits who seek to deliver innocent people into the hands of the abyss.

Facebook is just as guilty as those that they allow to due torment and represent the face of evil by violating the very principles of the First Amendment. The plaintiff did in facts and Facebook a notice telling Facebook to cease and desist in placing false ads about him on the Internet. However Facebook completely ignored the plaintiff and that's why the plaintiff has only but one recourse and that is to seek redress in a court of law for an injustice does being placed upon him as a black man and a senior citizen that his violated not only the plaintiff's civil rights and liberties but the Civil Rights and liberties of his minor children and children. Facebook apparently does not have a practice nor policy to protect society as a whole and therefore they must be held responsible for the actions of persons that it decides to host regardless of how damaging the speech is to innocent people.

The persons posting these horrendous stories on Facebook cannot go to a regular news media outlet and take out an ad alleging the same thing therefore how is it possible that Facebook Inc. subscribes to a different standard from that of CNN news or Fox news or local media newsprint. These persons cannot

go to the Wall Street Journal, New York daily news, or any other social media outlet and do what they are doing on Facebook. It is apparent that Facebook is the home for people who went to violate the law in who one to extort or blackmail innocent people out of money that they do not have and this is the high risk that Facebook runs when it does not screen its resources of the people who are members of Facebook. Facebook is not above the law and should not be treated by this court as though it were simply because Facebook might say that what they do is send it around the First Amendment but when the First Amendment causes injury then and there show a court intervene and prevent that injury from occurring or reoccurring.

It would seem to this writer that the officers and Facebook knew or should have known that there negligent in not policing advertisements being placed on their social media network would lead to liability and the fact that Mark Zuckerberg is worth \$36 billion does not give him nor his company on the backs of poor innocent black people or in this case a black man who's done no wrong. The only life that this black man has is to raise his 10-year-old son and this black man has given his life to Jesus Christ and has after the loss of his oldest son walked away from the adult entertainment business. The plaintiff has never been involved with the girl 13 15,14 that that's just impossible and certainly if such would have occurred that Allentown police would have for shortly known about this type of crime and the district attorney's office would have known about this type of crime it simply never happened it does not exist but only in the mind of the creditors that seek to blackmail and extort money from this plaintiff by using Facebook to carry out its dirty deeds and unlawful acts of blackmail.

It does not matter who is doing these horrendous acts what does matter is that Facebook and its contributors at some point and time had a meeting of the minds what the guilty parties who seek to destroy the plaintiff and his family's civil rights and liberties. It would seem to a common man that a company who owns \$36 billion in revenue would have some sort of obligation and responsibility to the public at large. The plaintiff believes that he is not the only one who has ever become the victim of Facebook and his renegade subscribers who have risen above the law and is to this extent that the court must intervene and put a stop to these horrendous outpouring of racial hatred in blackmail. The plaintiff does not know to his knowledge the people that are posting these ads or the person that is posting these ads but he does know that he is the innocent victim of someone's racial hatred and bigotry if not blackmail. Even though there has been no demand made for money had from the plaintiff but the plaintiff believes in his heart that soon a demand for money will be made to him because he believes the same persons who place the notes in his mailbox demanding money on the same persons responsible for posting these ads or advertisements of hatred on Facebook.

According to Chris Ott the plaintiff was allegedly supposed had raped someone under age when that person was 14 or 15 years old the plaintiff did not read this claim himself but instead he has gone by what other subscribers to Facebook have told him. The plaintiff has had many people as Facebook to take these ads down but Facebook has failed to respond and therefore the plaintiff has a valid cause to have this court issue an immediate injunction against Facebook since Facebook is incomplete in total control of what is and what is not to be posted on their website. They have complete and total access to the plaintiff's name to the mechanics of computer technology and Mark Zuckerberg was himself a computer geek and knows all the ins and outs to remove the plaintiff's name from any and all parts of his social media business. He could do so or order to have it done with one snap of his finger and the plaintiff reminds this Hon. Court that Mark Zuckerberg, and his company are not above the United States Constitution they are not above the laws of the United States of America. Not even in the case of Richard M Nixon the president of the United States the Supreme Court held firmly that no one is above


the law of the United States Constitution not even the president of this country. Mark Zuckerberg's company must be held accountable for their co-conspiring with enemies of the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is not asking for money he's asking for injunctive relief from Facebook all mention of his name and Facebook has the ability to do so it also has the legal and moral obligation to do so because what Facebook has been hosting is a trial by ambush and a trial by a racist means a trial to give you blackmail by any means necessary an attempt to be hose for blackmail and racial bigotry all of this is against the laws of the United States of America and Facebook is not above the law. The reason why people go to Facebook to create harm and inflict racial hatred is because the public knows that Facebook is unsupervised even by the Federal Government and as a result Facebook has been allowed to act as tyrants to kill the civil rights and liberties of innocent people and especially minorities who are projected by virtue of the Bill of Rights. The unlawful actions of Facebook and its co-conspirators has disrupted the life and daily routine of the plaintiff and his family and has made it almost impossible for the plaintiff to function with his other responsibilities such as legal obligation he has but several courts.

The plaintiff uses dragon naturally speaking to do his typing and if there is any misprint of words or sentences this is the reason why.

Wherefore, the plaintiff prays that this Hon. Court will grant him an injunction against Mark Zuckerberg, and his apparent company Facebook Inc. from allowing his social media Co. to use the name of Jimi Rose, or aid the name Jason Roman which was the screen actors name for the plaintiff when he then Hollywood California. It would seem that such a request would not in any way be opposed by Facebook and estate decide themselves that they are a party to the unlawful actions of racism and racial bigotry in the practice of blackmail against the plaintiff and his family. The plaintiff prays that this Hon. Court Will Issue an Order Directing the Federal Marshals office to serve the defendants with the court's order prohibiting the defendants from proceeding in the path of destruction in an attempt to deprive the plaintiff of his civil rights and liberties without due process.

4-22-16

Respectfully Submitted

Jimi Rose, Plaintiff-Pro-Se

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
SETTING IN PHILADELPHIA PENNSYLVANIA

Jimi Rose
Plaintiff

DOC. NO.

Vs.

Facebook, Inc. et al
Mark Zuckerberg, CEO
Defendants

ORDER

And now this DAY OF it is hereby ordered that the defendant, or hereby ordered to immediately take down and remove the name of Jimi Rose, a.k.a. Jason Roman from its Facebook pages and remove any derogatory remarks that were made against Jimi Rose, a.k.a. Jason Roman or any member of his family. Facebook is further directed as well as its owner and CEO Mark Zuckerberg from using the name Jimi Rose in its social media which would prevent Jimi Rose the plaintiff from being the victim of social and racial injustice. This order is to take effect immediately until such time as the court can set up a hearing to determine why the relief prayed for should not be granted to the plaintiff and his family.

The 14th amendment of the United States Constitution Requires This Court to uphold the laws of the United States of America and protect those that cannot protect themselves against Racial Profiling or any other form of discrimination that would violate the Government's own constitution. This order will prevent Facebook from using and taking down the name of Jimi Rose in any derogatory manner that was used against his will and without his permission. This order will further stay in full force and effect until such time as The Court Decides Otherwise.

It Is Further Ordered that the United States Marshal's office for the State of California serve a copy of this order upon the defendant's Facebook Inc. and its CEO Mark Zuckerberg so that the defendants in this case can cease and desist allowing unknown persons from degrading and subjecting the plaintiff and his family from human due degradation.

It is further ordered that the defendant's refrain from Co. conspiring or aiding and abetting the unknown advertisers that has used Facebook Inc. for their own personal vendettas in an attempt to hold a trial by ambush or violate civil rights and liberties of a minority whose civil rights and liberties must be protected By the Court.

BY THE COURT

DATED

Facebook Corporation/Legal Department
1 Hacker Way
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Harassment And Bullying
Racial Discrimination

Jimi Rose
911 Barnsdale Road
Allentown, PA. 18103

Exhibit "A"

Dear Sir or Mdm.

Approximately 3 days ago I received a text message from my friends who said a woman by the name of "Dawn Gold" a woman I do not know nor do I know any of her associates. This woman accused me of being a pedophile and I've never been ever charged with being a pedophile and I live alone with my 10-year-old son and two nights ago when we came home there was a man standing in my driveway with the knife and luckily my friend was with me and he pulled his gun out and aimed it at the gentlemen and the man ran down the street. I didn't want to call the police because I didn't really want to have a big to do about something that could have been taking care of with one bullet.

I just want you to know that I want you to remove this woman and her friends bar them from using my name Jimi Rose in any capacity because of something happens to me or my son I'm going to hold Facebook liable for hosting such garbage from people who have a vindictive vendetta and she told one of my friends that she wanted to torment and punish me because her friend told her to do so. This has gone beyond torment my son who is 10 years old his life was placed in jeopardy and I'm going to send a copy of this letter to you to CNN news as well as Fox television station so that in the event something happens to me and my son a full-scale investigation into Facebook's antics on allowing people to get on its webpages and just outright lie and try to bring harm to innocent people.

I'm 72 years old and yes I did have problems with the law in the past but over the past 25 years I have been relatively free of any legal problems whatsoever. I don't know this lady I don't know her girlfriend I've never raped anyone in my life and that's the God's honest truth. I've always own A Gentlemen's Clubs, lingerie stores with life models, and I have over 25 children from at least 20 different women and not one could ever say that I ever raped them. Please put a stop to this woman and her friends and please place something on your Facebook pages and machine that will send a signal to block any use of my name. If I have to I will take this lady to court I just don't know who she is and I expect full cooperation from Facebook. I've already been in touch with United States Atty. Gen.'s office who told me to write this letter and send them a copy.

I don't want to see my little boy hurt in any way, he is a mentally challenged child and he does not deserve being mistreated through the use of character assassination. Your prompt attention in this matter is deeply appreciated. Thank you and may God bless you for your help and concern.

Sincerely yours,

Exhibit "B"

Facebook Corporation/Legal Department
1 Hacker Way
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Harassment And Bullying
Cease and Desist Demand

Jimi Rose
911 Barnsdale Road
Allentown, PA. 18103

4/21/2016

Dear Sir,

Last week I sent you a letter asking you and your company to please take down a ad that someone put on Facebook about me that is simply not true. This is defamation of character and life threatening to me and my family. Would you please look into this matter, so that me and my family don't have to live in total embarrassment. I do not know these people who are posting these defamation ads.

I am hopeful that you will do everything in your power to delete these false ads. If you cannot help me than I will be forced to go and ask a federal judge to issue a order compelling your company to dismantle all of these ads, and to remove my name from continuously being slandered from a vindictive point of view. Your prompt attention in this matter is deeply appreciated.

Sincerely Yours,

Jimi Rose

CC: J.R.
U.S. District Court Records