

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MAY -4 PM 1:15

BY _____

MARLOW L. BATES SR. # 195-027 *

18601 ROXBURY RD.

HAGERTOWN MD 21746 *

(Full name and address of the plaintiff)

Plaintiff(s) *

vs.

GLR 16 CV 1342

Civil No.:

(Leave blank. To be filled in by Court.)

SONY CORP OF AMERICA ET AL. *

550 MADISON AVE.

NEW YORK NY 10022 *

(Full name and address of the defendant(s))

Defendant(s) *****

ADDITIONAL DEFERDANTS ON REVERSE

COMPLAINT

1. Jurisdiction in this case is based on:

- Diversity (none of the defendants are residents of the state where plaintiff is a resident)
- Federal question (suit is based upon a federal statute or provision of the United States Constitution)
- Other (explain) _____

Exhibit-1

2. Google Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy.
Mountain View CA 94043
3. Panasonic Electric Works Corp Of America
629 central Ave.
New Providence, NJ 07974
4. LG Electronics U.S.A. Inc.
1000 Sylvan Ave.
Englewood, Cliffs, NJ 07632
5. Mitsrubishi Electronic U.S.A. Inc.
Semiconductor Div.,
5201 Great Amenca Pkwy. Ste. 332
Santa Clara, CA 95054
6. Sansung Electronics America Inc.
150 Challenger Rd.
Ridgefield Park NJ 07660
7. Amazon. Com Inc.
1200 12th Ave. S Suite 1200
Seattle WA 98144
8. Nock Industries
4950 E. 49th St.
Cleveland OH 44125

2. The facts of this case are:

On Monday, 8, 2002 Plaintiff filed "Portable Voice Translator" Under #VAu548-639; also on Wed. May, 1, 2002 "Video Picture Scanner" #VAu557-87; Alternative title "Character's Voices & Actions Controller". Fri. June 6, 03 "Unique Computer" #VAu584-762; Fri. Apr. 16, 04 "Amazing TV. #VAu633-687; Alternative title "Amazing Computer" and "Amazing Cellularphone"; Tues. June 8, 04 "Big Screen Computer" \$VAu628-076; 10, 05 "Disposable Toiletseat Cover" #VAu657-51; Alternative title "Portable Book Keeper".

2. On Wednesday, Sept. 29, 04; On Tuesday Oct. 5, 04, and on Saturday, Nov. 20, 04 Plaintiff mailed Defendants letters describing his Creative Expressions and the Designs of his Deposited Works.

3. On Sat. May 4, 2013 Plaintiff discovered that Defendants had infringed on his Copyrights of his Creative Expressions and Designs by Copying them. The Copied Works are substantially similar to Plaintiff's Protected Expressions and Designs.
