EXHIBIT A

ESSEX COUNTY - CIVIL DIVISION SUPERIOR COURT OF NJ 465 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BLVD NEWARK NJ 07102

COURT TELEPHONE NO. (973) 693-5529 COURT HOURS 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM TRACK ASSIGNMENT NOTICE

DATE: NOVEMBER 23, 2015
RE: HUNTER VS DEMATIC USA
DOCKET: ESX L -008093 15

THE ABOVE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO: TRACK 2.

DISCOVERY IS 300 DAYS AND RUNS FROM THE FIRST ANSWER OR 90 DAYS FROM SERVICE ON THE FIRST DEFENDANT, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST.

THE PRETRIAL JUDGE ASSIGNED IS: HON FRANCINE A. SCHOTT

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT TEAM 002 AT: (973) 776-9300.

IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE TRACK IS INAPPROPRIATE YOU MUST FILE A CERTIFICATION OF GOOD CAUSE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE FILING OF YOUR PLEADING. PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE COPIES OF THIS FORM ON ALL OTHER PARTIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH R.4:58-2.

ATTENTION:

ATT: JOSEPH D. CRONIN
JOSEPH D. CRONIN
CRONIN LAW FIRM LLC
1500 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD SUITE 1020
PHILADELPHIA PA 19102-1741

JUGBONE

Appendix XII-B1



ATTORNEY/PRO SE NAME

FIRM NAME (if applicable)

OFFICE ADDRESS 1500 JFK Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Plaintiff, Reshonda Hunter.

NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe, Plaintiff)

Administrator of the Estate of Ronald

HURRICANE SANDY

NO NO

RELATED?

☐ YES

The Cronin Firm

Suite 1010

Smith, et al.

605

CASE TYPE NUMBER

(See reverse side for listing)

Joseph D. Cronin, Esquire

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)

Use for initial Law Division Civil Part pleadings (not motions) under Rule 4:5-1 Pleading will be rejected for filing, under Rule 1:5-6(c), if information above the black bar is not completed or attorney's signature is not affixed

<u>A</u>	ppendix XII-B1		3			
FORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)			PAYMENT TYPE: CK CG CA CHG/CK NO.			
(not ted f c	ll Law Division motions) under <i>Rule</i> 4:5-1 or filing, under <i>Rule</i> 1:5-1 black bar is not complet	6(c),	AMOUNT: OVERPAYMENT:			
signature is not affixed		GU	BATCH NUMBER:			
	TELEPHONE NUMBER (215) 751-9001	COUNTY OF VENUE Essex				
		DOCKET NUMBER (when available)				
		DOCUMENT TYPE Complaint				
		JURY DEMAND YES NO				
CAPTI Resh	onda Hunter, et al. v. Demat	ic USA,	et al.			
IF YOL	S A PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTION HAVE CHECKED "YES," SEE N.J. RDING YOUR OBLIGATION TO FIL	S.A. 2A:5	3 A -27 AND APPLICABLE CASE LAW			

	REGARDING YOU	RUBLIGATIO	N 10 FILE AN AFFIDA	VIT OF MERIT.			
RELATED CASES PENDING?	IF YES, LIST DOCK	KET NUMBER	S	10000			
☐ YES ■ No							
DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING ANY PARTIES	NAME OF DEFEND	ANT'S PRIMA	RY INSURANCE COM	IDANY (if known)			
(arising out of same transaction or occurrence)?	1	7 UT OT TURE	at modionice con	IFART (II KILUWII)	□ None		
YES 🖺 No					UNKNOWN		
THE INCORMATION PROVIDED	ONTHEROPHA		NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN PER		- 14		
THE INFORMATION PROVIDED	ON THIS FORM C	ANNOT B	E INTRODUCED I	NTO EVIDENCE			
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSES OF DET	ERMINING IF CASE IS	APPROPRIA	TE FOR MEDIATION				
DO PARTIES HAVE A CURRENT, PAST OR	IF YES, IS THAT RELA	ATIONSHIP:					
ll — — l'	☐ EMPLOYER/EMPLOY	EE	FRIEND/NEIGHBOR	☐ OTHER (ex	plain)		
☐ YES ■ No	FAMILIAL		☐ Business	_ ,	,,		
DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE PROV	IDE FOR PAYMENT C	OF FEES BY T	HE LOSING PARTY?	☐ YES	■ No		
USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO ANY SPECIAL CASE CHARACTERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL MANAGEMENT ACCELERATED DISPOSITION							
This is a wrongful death case.				11:25	m ij		
This is a Willight death case.							
				453			
17				() e 5 - 5	200		
				77.7	252		
				声动 世	202		
DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT NEED ANY DISABILITY A	CCOMMODATIONS?	IF YES, PLEA	SE IDENTIFY THE REQUE	STED ACCOMMODATI	ON		
C YES NO		1		N	g (5		
WILL AN INTERPRETER BE NEEDED?		IF YES, FOR	WHAT LANGUAGE?				
☐ YES ■ No							
I certify that confidential personal identifiers b	mus boon malestad	Sam da					
I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been radacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with <i>Rule</i> 1:38-7(b).							
The state of the s	THE REPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	CE WILLI NOIS	7 1.30-7 (D).				

11-19-15

ATTORNEY SIGNATURE:



CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

(CIS)
Use for initial pleadings (not motions) under *Rule* 4:5-1

Chillin.										
CASE TYPES	ES (Choose one and enter number of case type in appropriate space on the reverse side.)									
	Track I - 150 days' discovery									
	51 NAME CHANGE									
	75 FORFEITURE									
	02 TENANCY									
399 502	99 REAL PROPERTY (other than Tenancy, Contract, Condemnation, Complex Commercial or Construction) 02 BOOK ACCOUNT (debt collection matters only)	N 4								
505	05 OTHER INSURANCE CLAIM (including declaratory judgment actions)									
506	06 PIP COVERAGE									
510	10 UM or UIM CLAIM (coverage issues only)									
511	11 ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 12 LEMON LAW									
801	01 SUMMARY ACTION									
802	02 OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (summary action)									
999	99 OTHER (briefly describe nature of action)									
		0.51(1)								
	II - 300 days' discovery									
	D5 CONSTRUCTION									
509 500	99 EMPLOYMENT (other than CEPA or LAD) CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL TRANSACTION									
6031	D3N AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PERSONAL INJURY (non-verbei threshold)									
603	D3Y AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PERSONAL INJURY (verbal threshold)									
605	95 PERSONAL INJURY									
610	10 AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PROPERTY DAMAGE									
699	21 UM or UM CLAIM (Includes bodily injury) 39 TORT—OTHER									
Track III	III - 450 days' discovery									
	D5 CIVIL RIGHTS									
301	01 CONDEMNATION									
602	22 ASSAULT AND BATTERY									
604	MEDICAL MALPRACTICE									
607	06 PRODUCT LIABILITY 07 PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE									
608	18 TOXIC TORT									
	9 DEFAMATION									
616	6 WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLOYEE PROTECTION ACT (CEPA) CASES									
	7 INVERSE CONDEMNATION 8 LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION (LAD) CASES									
1 (AICK IV	IV - Active Case Management by Individual Judge / 450 days' discovery 6 ENVIRONMENTAL/ENVIRONMENTAL COVERAGE LITIGATION									
	3 MT. LAUREL									
508	8 COMPLEX COMMERCIAL									
513	3 COMPLEX CONSTRUCTION									
514 620	4 INSURANCE FRAUD 0 FALSE CLAIMS ACT									
701	1 ACTIONS IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS									
Multicou	Multicounty Litigation (Track IV)									
271	1 ACCUTANE/ISOTRETINOIN 289 REGLAN									
274	4 RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ZYPREXA 290 POMPTON LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION	1								
	B ZOMETA/AREDIA 291 PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE 9 GADOLINIUM 292 PELVIC MESH/BARD									
	9 GADOLINIUM 292 PELVIC MESH/BARD 1 BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB ENVIRONMENTAL 293 DEPUY ASR HIP IMPLANT LITIGATION									
282	2 FOSAMAX 295 ALL ODERM REGENERATIVE TISSUE MATRIX									
285	5 STRYKER TRIDENT HIP IMPLANTS 296 STRYKER REJUVENATE/ABG II MODULAR HIP STEM COM	PONENTS								
	LEVACION 297 MIRENA CONTRACEPTIVE DEVICE									
288	7 YAZ/YASMIN/OCELLA 601 ASBESTOS B PRUDENTIAL TORT LITIGATION 623 PROPECIA									
	Caro I I/OI LOIN									
If you beli	If you believe this case requires a track other than that provided above, please indicate the reason on Side 1,									
in the space under "Case Characteristics.										
Plea	ease check off each applicable category	1								

THE CRONIN FIRM By: Joseph D. Cronin, Esquire Attorney I.D. No.: 03503-1991 Two Penn Center Plaza 1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1010 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 751-9001

Attorneys for Plaintiff

RESHONDA HUNTER, Administrator Ad Prosequendum, of the Estate of RONALD SMITH, deceased, 207 Court Street, Apt. 1-A Newark, New Jersey, 07013, and all heirs Individually, i.e., Reshonda Hunter, Rashaan Hunter, Dorian Smith and Leia Smith,

LAW DIVISION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

ESSEX COUNTY

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 4-8093-15

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEMATIC USA 507 Plymouth Avenue NE Grand Rapids, MI 49505;

DEMATIC CORPORATION 150 Allen Road, Suite 103 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920;

AMAZON.COM, INC. 410 Terry Avenue North Seattle, WA 98109;

AMAZON FULFILLMENT SERVICES, INC. 410 Terry Avenue North Seattle, WA 98109;

GENCO I, INC. d/b/a GENCO: 100 Papercraft Park Pittsburgh, PA 15238;

and

JOHN DOES #s 1-10, ABC Corps #s 1-10: Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND, DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL, **DEMAND FOR INSURANCE INFORM-**ATION, DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY, **DEPOSITION NOTICES and CERTIFICATION**



Plaintiff, Reshonda Hunter, Administrator ad Prosequendum, of the Estate of Ronald Smith, deceased, residing in the City of Newark, Essex County, New Jersey, and by herself Individually as an heir, along with her co-heirs/co-Plaintiffs, Rashaan Hunter, Dorian Smith and Leia Smith, by and through their attorneys, The Cronin Firm, hereby file this Complaint against Defendants Dematic Corporation; Dematic USA; Amazon Fulfillment Services, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Genco I, Inc.; and John Does Nos. 1-10, ABC Corps 1-10, and in support thereof, aver as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Reshonda Hunter ("Plaintiff"), is a citizen of the State of New Jersey and an adult individual who resides at 207 Court Street, Apt. 1-A, Newark, New Jersey, 07013. On or about September 4, 2014, Letters of Administration Ad Prosequendum were issued by the Essex County Surrogate to the Plaintiff Reshonda Hunter thereby authorizing and empowering her to bring the survival action herein in her name as Administrator of said Estate, and thereby authorizing and empowering her to bring the wrongful death action herein in her name as the deceased's Administrator ad Prosequendum as the nominal representative of the Wrongful Death Act's beneficiaries; she herein brings this case on behalf of the Estate Ronald Smith, Plaintiff's father, herself, and all next of kin (they are named herein immediately below), the other 3 children of the late Ronald Smith and she makes claim for all such Plaintiffs for all recoverable damages under the law. (The sealed original of the aforesaid Letters of Administration Ad Prosequendum are attached hereto as Exhibit "A.")
- 2. Plaintiff, Rashaan Hunter is a citizen of the State of New Jersey and an adult individual who resides at 31 Monticello Ave, Apt. 3, Jersey City, New Jersey, 07304.

- 3. Plaintiff, Dorian Smith is a citizen of the State of New Jersey and an adult individual who resides at 537 13th Ave, Newark, New Jersey, 07013.
- 4. Plaintiff, Leia Smith is a citizen of the State of New Jersey and an adult individual who resides at 537 13th Ave, Newark, New Jersey, 07013.
- 5. Plaintiff's decedent, Ronald Smith (hereinafter "Plaintiff's decedent" or "Smith"), whose injuries and death give rise to the claims presented herein, was at the time of his death a citizen of the State of New Jersey and resided at 29 E. Highland Avenue, Orange, New Jersey, 07050.
- 6. Defendant Dematic USA is an international corporation with its principal place of business located at 507 Plymouth Avenue NE, Grand Rapids, MI 49505; it has an office in the State of New Jersey located at 150 Allen Road, Suite 103, Basking Ridge, NJ 07920.
- 7. Defendant Dematic Corporation is a subsidiary of Dematic USA (Defendants Dematic Corporation and Dematic USA shall be referred to herein jointly as "Dematic") with an office in the State of New Jersey located at 150 Allen Road, Suite 103, Basking Ridge, NJ 07920.
- 8. Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109.
- 9. Defendant Amazon Fulfillment Services, Inc., is a subsidiary of Amazon.com, Inc. with its principal place of business located at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109 (Defendants Amazon.com, Inc. and Defendant Amazon Fulfillment, Inc. shall be referred to herein jointly as "Amazon").

- 10. Defendant Genco I, Inc. d/b/a Genco ("Genco"), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 100 Papercraft Park, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15238.
- 11. Defendant John Does Nos. 1-10, inclusive, are fictitious entities or persons and are pleaded pursuant to Rule 4:26-4, to temporarily suffice for the presently undetermined entities and/or persons which are subsidiaries, corporations, parent corporations, partnerships, public entities, holding corporations and/or business entities of any nature and their agentsservants, employees, contractors, subcontractors, independent contractors, workers, engineers, planners, designers, installers, inspectors, architects or other affiliated corporations or individuals of the aforesaid Defendants and/or any and all entities, persons or companies, whose acts of negligence that may have caused and/or contributed to the injuries and damages more particularly described herein and/or that were involved in any way and or responsible for the accident and death of the decedent, Ronald Smith, as more fully described below, including but not limited to the design, manufacture, testing, installing, inspecting, servicing, repair, redesign or other act or failure to act under New Jersey's product liability laws with respect to the equipment, machinery, conveyor, sorter, palletizer, etc. used at the Amazon warehouse located at 301 Blair Road, Avenel, New Jersey, 07001 (the "Facility"). Their true names or capacities are not known at this time, and as such, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to state the true names and capacities of the fictitious named defendants when they have been ascertained.
- 12. Defendant ABC Corps. 1-10, inclusive, are fictitious entities or persons and are pleaded pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 4:26-4, to temporarily suffice for the presently undetermined entities and/or persons which are subsidiaries, corporations, parent corporations, partnerships, public

entities, holding corporations and/or business entities of any nature and their agents, servants, employees, contractors, subcontractors, independent contractors, workers, engineers, planners, designers, installers, inspectors, architects or other affiliated corporations or individuals of the aforesaid Defendants and/or any and all entities, persons or companies, whose acts of negligence that may have caused and/or contributed to the injuries and damages more particularly described herein and/or that were involved in any way and or responsible for the accident and death of the decedent, Ronald Smith, as more fully described below, including but not limited to the design, manufacture, testing, installing, inspecting, servicing, repair, redesign or other act or failure to act under New Jersey's product liability laws with respect to the equipment, machinery, conveyor, sorter, palletizer, etc. used at the Amazon warehouse located at 301 Blair Road, Avenel, New Jersey, 07001 (the "Facility"). Their true names or capacities are not known at this time, and as such, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to state the true names and capacities of the fictitious named defendants when they have been ascertained.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to the New Jersey Rules of Court. This Court has jurisdiction due to the nature of the action and the amount in controversy.
- 14. Venue is properly set in this Court under Rule 4:3-2(a), as Plaintiff resides and the decedent, Ronald Smith, resided in Essex County.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 16. Dematic, at all relevant times, designed, manufactured and/or installed, serviced, sold and distributed general merchandising equipment, including conveyors, sortation and palletizing systems among other products.
- 17. At all relevant times, Amazon was involved in the sale, marketing, including online marketing, and/or distribution of products, and used warehouse and distribution centers throughout the United States, including the Amazon warehouse located at 301 Blair Road, Avenel, New Jersey, 07001 (the "Facility").
- 18. Dematic, at all relevant times, provided product related services to its customers, including but not limited to Amazon at the Avenel Facility, including providing field service, consultancy, training, engineering, servicing, upgrading, design and implementation of storage, pallet and sortation systems.
- 19. At all relevant times, Genco was a third-party logistics company for Amazon that operated the Facility.
- 20. At all relevant times, Abacus The Corporate Services Company ("Abacus") was a staffing agency in charge of hiring, firing and payroll for certain temporary employees at the Avenel Facility.
 - 21. Amazon owned, controlled and maintained all of the equipment in the Facility.
- 22. On or about December 4, 2013, Plaintiff's decedent, who was employed by Abacus, was working as a temporary warehouse worker at the Avenel Facility, working on the distribution of packages for Amazon.

- 23. On or about the same date and time, Smith was caused to be seriously injured when he was caught in a conveyor belt and crushed while performing sorting operations at the Avenel Facility.
- 24. Specifically, the conveyor belt, which was approximately 15-18 feet above the ground, became jammed and Smith went to clear the jam.
- 25. Smith's arm became stuck in the conveyor belt and the conveyor belt twisted his body, pushed him between two machines the space between the two machines was less than six inches wide and dragged him at least eight feet until he eventually fell over the side and landed on the concrete ground approximately 15-18 feet below the conveyor belt.
- 26. Smith sustained serious and fatal injuries, including but not limited to head injuries and a broken hip.
- 27. Workers at the Avenel Facility attempted to revive Smith by performing CPR on him until the paramedics arrived.
- 28. Smith was taken to Robert Wood Johnson Hospital in Rahway New Jersey, where he passed away.
- 29. The autopsy performed on Smith listed the official cause of death as multiple blunt force impact injuries.
- 30. As a result of Smith's death, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") issued citations for safety violations at the Facility to, *inter alia*, Genco and Abacus.

- 31. On or about September 4, 2014, Letters of General Administration were issued by the Essex County Surrogate to Plaintiff pursuant to the order of the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division-Probate Part, County of Essex, appointing Plaintiff as general administrator of the estate of Ronald Smith, deceased, for the purpose of being appointed administrator ad prosequendum in order to prosecute this claim on behalf of the Estate of Ronald Smith, deceased, for his death.
- 32. By this action, Plaintiff seeks to recover all damages to which the Estate of Ronald Smith is entitled as a result of his wrongful death by Defendants as alleged herein.

COUNT I – WRONGFUL DEATH (Plaintiffs v. Defendants)

- 33. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 34. Defendants owed a duty to Smith, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Properly training Smith on how to use the subject conveyor belt;
- b. Inspecting and maintaining the subject conveyor belt to ensure that it was in proper working order;
- c. Installing proper safety features on the subject conveyor belt that would have prevented Smith from becoming stuck in the conveyor belt;
- d. Designing and locating the subject conveyor belt in a safe place, such that one would not fall 15-18 feet if there is an accident with the conveyor belt;
- e. Designing the subject conveyor belt in such a way that personnel using it, such as Ronald Smith, could not get stuck in the conveyor belt;

- f. Installing appropriate, accessible kill switches that would have allowed Smith to stop the conveyor belt when he got caught in it; and
- g. Ensuring that more than one person worked on or near the subject conveyor belt, such that another employee(s) would have seen Smith become stuck in the subject conveyor belt and could have rendered assistance.
- 35. Defendants were negligent in that they breached said duties to Smith by failing to take the said measures and precautions and allowing Smith to work in a dangerous work environment.
- 36. As a result of Defendants' negligence, Smith suffered a horrible fate, becoming caught in the conveyor belt and dragged approximately eight feet before he fell 15-18 feet and landed on a concrete floor, with fellow workers attempting to resuscitate him, then emergency medical personnel attempting to revive him, all to no avail, as Smith was declared deceased at the hospital after the accident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment in her favor against Defendants, individually and/or jointly and severally, for compensatory damages together with interest, counsel fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II - SURVIVAL ACTION (Plaintiffs v. Defendants)

- 37. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. As a result of the aforementioned actions and/or inactions on the part of defendants, Smith was caused to live in great pain from the time of the incident until the time of his death. During that time, he endured great pain and suffering, and loss of enjoyment of life.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment in her favor against Defendants, individually and/or jointly and severally, for compensatory damages together with interest, counsel fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT III - STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY (Plaintiffs v. Dematic and Amazon)

- 39. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. The conveyor, palletizer, sortation and/or other equipment ("conveyor system") in use at the Avenel Facility was designed, developed, manufactured, assembled, marketed, supplied, distributed, sold, serviced, redesigned and/or placed in the stream of commerce by Dematic and Amazon, and their employees, agents and representatives owed the operators, users and/or bystanders of its equipment a duty of reasonable care.
- 41. At the time of its distribution, the above conveyor system was defective and negligently designed in that it was not reasonably fit, suitable or safe for its intended and reasonably foreseeable purposes and uses.
- 42. More specifically, and without limitation, the conveyor system failed to include safe and appropriate guards or other safeguards to protect users, workers and/or bystanders from foreseeable risk of injury.
- 43. In addition, the conveyor system was defective due to the failure to provide adequate warnings and/or instructions regarding the risks and dangers of the equipment during intended and reasonably foreseeable uses and by failing to adequately test the design from a safety standpoint.

- 44. In addition, the conveyor system was defective from a manufacturing standpoint in that it failed to conform to customer specifications and/or omitted required and/or expected safety features required by the standard of reasonable care.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of the above, Smith suffered a horrible fate, becoming caught in the conveyor belt and dragged approximately eight feet before he fell 15-18 feet and landed on a concrete floor, with fellow workers attempting to resuscitate him, then emergency medical personnel attempting to revive him, all to no avail, as Smith was declared deceased at the hospital after the accident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment in her favor against Dematic and Amazon, individually and/or jointly and severally, for compensatory damages together with interest, counsel fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

<u>COUNT IV - PUNITIVE DAMAGES</u> (Plaintiffs v. Dematic and Amazon)

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. Dematic and Amazon were aware of, or culpably indifferent, to unnecessary risks of injury to end users when they designed, manufactured, engineered, assembled, sold, distributed, serviced and/or modified the conveyor system and/or its components.
- 48. Dematic and Amazon refused to take timely steps to reduce the danger to an acceptable level, despite having information and knowledge about similar past accidents and injuries.
- 49. Dematic's and Amazon's concerted action was willful, wanton and in conscious disregard for the safety of the operators, users and/or bystanders of the conveyor system.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment in her favor against Dematic and Amazon, individually and/or jointly and severally, for punitive damages together with interest, counsel fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

<u>COUNT V - POST-SALE NEGLIGENCE</u> (Plaintiff v. Dematic and Amazon)

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. Dematic and Amazon and their currently unidentified employees, agents and servants were negligent in their performance of post-sale service, repair, maintenance, modification and/or alterations to the conveyor system and failed to take timely action to recall, repair, correct, modify and/or warn its operators, users and/or bystanders of the dangers.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the above, Smith suffered a horrible fate, becoming caught in the conveyor belt and dragged approximately eight feet before he fell 15-18 feet and landed on a concrete floor, with fellow workers attempting to resuscitate him, then emergency medical personnel attempting to revive him, all to no avail, as Smith was declared deceased at the hospital after the accident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment in her favor against Dematic and Amazon, individually and/or jointly and severally, for compensatory damages together with interest, counsel fees, costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

STATEMENT OF OTHER PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 4:5-1(b)(2), I certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action or arbitration proceeding, now or contemplated, and that no other parties should be joined in this action.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues triable.

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand answers to Form C and C4 Interrogatories from each Defendant within the time prescribed by the New Jersey Court Rules.

DEPOSITION NOTICES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that in accordance with the Rules of Civil Practice and Procedure, testimony will be taken by deposition upon oral argument before a person authorized by the laws of the State of New Jersey to administer oaths on February 19, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Budd Larner, P.C., 150 John F. Kennedy Parkway, Short Hills, New Jersey, or other locations agreed on by the parties, with respect to any and all matters relevant to the subject matter involved in this action, at which time and place you will please produce the following person(s) whose testimony is to be taken:

- 1) Representative(s) of Amazon with knowledge:
 - a. regarding the purchase, design, manufacture, assembly, distribution set-up, modification, re-design, or post-sale events of the machinery system that caused injury and/or death to Ronald Smith as set forth above; and
 - regarding the investigation and/or injuries and/or death occurring to Ronald
 Smith.
- 2) Representative(s) of Dematic with knowledge:
 - a. regarding the purchase, design, manufacture, assembly, distribution set-up, modification, re-design, or post-sale events of the machinery system that caused injury and/or death to Ronald Smith as set forth above; and

regarding the investigation and/or injuries and/or death occurring to Ronald
 Smith.

3) Representatives of Genco with knowledge:

a. regarding the purchase, design, manufacture, assembly, distribution set-up, modification, re-design, or post-sale events of the machinery system that caused injury and/or death to Ronald Smith as set forth above; and

b. regarding the investigation and/or injuries and/or death occurring to Ronald Smith.

DEMAND FOR INSURANCE INFORMATION

Pursuant to Rule 4:10-2(b), Plaintiffs hereby demand copies of the declaration pages of any and all insurance agreements by which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment which may be entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Joseph D. Cronin, Esquire, is hereby designated as trial counsel for Plaintiff for purposes of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

THE CRONIN FIRM

Х. —

JOSEPH'D. CRONIN Attorneys for Plaintiff

Date: November 19, 2015

EXHIBIT "A"

Docket No.: 2014-2113

State of New Jersey Essex County Surrogate's Court

THEODORE N. STEPHENS II SURROGATE

Hall of Records, Room 206 Newark, New Jersey 07102

Phone: 973-621-4900

Fax: 973-621-2654

Natalynn Dunson-Harrison DEPUTY SURROGATE

In the matter of the Estate of:

Ronald Smith

AKA:

LETTERS ADMINISTRATION
AD PROSEQUENDUM

I, Theodore N. Stephens II, Surrogate of Essex County and State of New Jersey, **Do Hereby Certify** that on September 4, 2014, administration ad prosequendum of decedent, who died intestate, late of the County of Essex and State of NJ was granted by the Surrogate of the County of Essex to Reshonda Hunter of who is duly authorized to bring an action, institute a proceeding or make a claim in his name as such administrator ad prosequendum as is the statute such case provided.

DO NOT ACCEPT WITHOUT RAISED SEAL



WITNESS my hand and seal of office on September 4, 2014

Theodore N. Stephens II Surrogate